



\$~10

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **FAO 277/2007, CM APPL. 44860/2023**
SUSHMA JAINAppellant
Through: **Mr. B. VAnkatraman, Advocate**

versus

ANILKUMAR JAIN & ORS.Respondents
Through: **None.**

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER
07.05.2026

%

1. None appears on behalf of the respondents.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that respondent no.2 could not be served and that the application filed under Order V Rule 20 CPC, seeking substituted service upon respondent no.2, was disposed of on 29.01.2026 as having become infructuous. He further submits that the learned counsel for respondent no.1 had undertaken to inform the legal heirs of deceased respondent no.2, however, the same has not yet been done.
3. The Court notice issued to learned counsel for respondent no.1 was served, as noted in the previous order. However, today, none appears on behalf of respondent no.1.
4. At this stage, learned counsel for the appellant submits that he shall take instructions regarding the whereabouts of Mr. Anil Kumar Jain, being the brother-in-law of the petitioner, who is stated to be known to him, and shall serve a copy of the order passed today upon Mr. Anil Kumar Jain by way of Speed/Registered Post. Let the same be done before the next date of



hearing.

5. In the meantime, issue Court Notice (without process fee) to respondent no.1, returnable on 10.07.2026.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

MAY 7, 2026/rd