



\$~4

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **FAO 277/2007, CM APPL 44860/2023**
SUSHMA JAINAppellant
Through: Mr. B. Venkatraman, Advocate.

versus

ANILKUMAR JAIN & ORS.Respondents
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **29.01.2026**

CM APPL 37418/2023

In view of the fact that the Respondent no. 2 has already expired, the present application has become infructuous and is disposed accordingly.

CM APPL 51810/2019 (early hearing)

1. Considering the fact that the matter has been listed on several occasions, this application for early hearing has become infructuous.
2. The present application is accordingly disposed of.

CM APPL 51811/2019 (delay)

1. For the reasons stated in the application, the delay of 28 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.
2. The application is disposed of.

FAO 277/2007

1. At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the appellant submits that the steps for impleadment of LRs of the deceased respondent no.2 could not be taken, he submits that though he is aware of the LRs of the respondent no.2, however, he is not aware of their residential addresses. Let the details of LRs of respondent no.2 be provided to the learned counsel for the



appellant positively on or before the next date of hearing. He further states that copy of the appeal paper book has been supplied to counsel for the respondent no.1 who had appeared on 03.11.2023.

2. Issue default notice (without process fees) to the counsel for the respondent no.1, returnable on 25.03.2026.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

JANUARY 29, 2026/rd