



\$~54&55

- * **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
- + CM(M) 3992/2024 & CM APPL. 71316/2024
SH ROSHAN LAL SINCE DECEASED THR HIS LRSPetitioner
- Through: Mr. Praveen Suri, Advocate.
versus
SH AMAR LUNIAL AND ORSRespondent
- Through: Mr. Madan Lal Sharma with Mr. Amit Kumar and Mr. Mukesh Kumar Yadav, Advocates.
- + FAO 376/2024 & CM APPL. 71318/2024
SHRI ROSHAN LAL SINCE DECEASED THR HIS LRS
-Appellant
- Through: Mr. Praveen Suri, Advocate.
versus
SH AMAR LUNIAL AND ORSRespondent
- Through: Mr. Madan Lal Sharma with Mr. Amit Kumar and Mr. Mukesh Kumar Yadav, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

%

19.03.2025

CM APPL. 15917/2025 in FAO 376/2024 (exemption)

Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CM(M) 3992/2024& FAO 376/2024

1. Learned counsel for respondent appears in both the matters and submits that he would file a brief written submission in both the matters within two weeks with advance copy to the other side.
2. Petitioner/Appellant would also be at liberty to file brief written synopsis after supplying the advance copy thereof, to the other side.
3. List on 27.05.2025 at 3:30 P.M.



4. Learned counsel for both the sides submit that they would make request for adjournment to the learned First Appellate Court where said appeal is now listed for 19.04.2025.

CM APPL. 15916/2025(modification of the order) in FAO 376/2024

1. It, however, needs to be highlighted that in the Appeal case i.e. FAO No. 376/2024, respondent has moved an application under Section 151 CPC seeking modification of order dated 05.12.2024.
2. Such application has been registered as CM APPL. 15916/2025.
3. However, learned counsel for respondent submits that the above said application had been filed on account of misreading of the order and, therefore, respondent does not press the abovesaid application.
4. The above said application stands disposed of in aforesaid terms.

MANOJ JAIN, J

MARCH 19, 2025/sw/js