



\$~40

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 813/2025, I.A. 9097/2026, I.A. 9098/2026 & I.A. 9099/2026**

DEEPAK KUMAR MAAN

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Arjun Singh Bawa, Mr. Sahil Gupta, Ms. Apoorva Pradhan and Mr. Siddhartha, Advs.

Mob: 9990044775

Email:

siddharthakumar@amicuslaw.com

versus

VIKRANT KHANNA

.....Defendant

Through: Ms. Zeba Khair, Ms. Harshita Singhal, Ms. Tripti and Ms. Monika Shahi, Advs.

Mob: 9810602612

Email:

legesworldlawfirm@gmail.com

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

06.04.2026

%

I.A. 9098/2026

1. The present application has been filed on behalf of the defendant, seeking interim injunction against the plaintiff.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the defendant submits that filing of the present application has been necessitated on account of the continuous, high-handed and illegal actions of the plaintiff, who is incessantly creating severe nuisance and deliberately interfering with the defendant's peaceful use,



occupation, and enjoyment of the suit property, i.e., *Farm No. 8, A-7, Rudra Farms, Vasant Kunj, New Delhi*.

3. It is submitted that even the essential basic orders of household necessities, utilities, or any other items, are actively intercepted and barred from entering the suit premises, by the representatives of the plaintiff. Thus, due to this deliberate blockade by the plaintiff of the daily utility providers, urgent medical needs of the family members and *bona fide* visitors, the ingress, egress and access to basic amenities of the defendant have also been severely impacted.

4. Issue notice. Notice is accepted by learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff.

5. Learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff, on instructions, submits that no obstructions are being created by the plaintiff.

6. The aforesaid statement is taken note of.

7. Accordingly, it is directed that the plaintiff shall not create any obstruction in the peaceful living of the defendant, in any manner whatsoever, subject to any orders that may be passed ultimately in the present proceedings.

8. With the aforesaid directions, the present application is accordingly disposed of.

I.A. 9097/2026

9. The present application has been on behalf of the plaintiff under Order XII Rule 6, read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (“CPC”), seeking judgment on admissions.

10. Issue notice. Notice is accepted by learned counsel appearing for the defendant.



11. Let reply be filed, within a period of four weeks, from today.
12. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of two weeks, thereafter.

I.A. 9099/2026

13. The present application has been filed on behalf of the defendant to deposit the monthly rent of the suit premises in this Court.
14. Learned counsel appearing for the defendant submits that on account of the disputes between the plaintiff and his siblings, the defendant seeks to deposit the rent before this Court.
15. It is submitted that the defendant has recently been served with a legal notice sent by Mrs. Rita Dabas, Mrs. Renu Saroha and Mrs. Rani Gehlot. By way of the said legal notice, the said persons have claimed ownership of the suit property, out of the estate of Late Sh. Balbir Singh Maan, and have sought from the defendant to produce the certified copy of the lease rent/lease agreement and complete details of the rent paid.
16. At this stage, it is directed that the defendant may provide such information with regard to the details of the lease deed and the rent being paid to the aforesaid persons.
17. Learned counsel appearing for the defendant further submits that defendant was put in possession by the plaintiff and the plaintiff's father.
18. However, the aforesaid fact is denied by learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff, who submits that it was the plaintiff, who had put the defendant in possession.
19. Be that as it may, since the defendant has admitted that the defendant was put in possession by the plaintiff as well, the defendant shall continue to make payment of the rent with respect of the suit property to the plaintiff.



20. However, it is clarified that the receipt of the rent for the suit property by the plaintiff, shall be subject to any orders, that may be passed by any Court of law in appropriate proceedings, wherein, the dispute of the plaintiff with the other siblings in respect to the suit property, is pending.

21. With the aforesaid directions, the present application is accordingly disposed of.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

APRIL 6, 2026/SK