



\$~71

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.M.C. 8038/2025

RISHABH PATHAK

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr Hariom , Ms Ashu Gupta and Ms  
Preeti, Advocates.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) & ANR.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Shoaib Haider, APP for the State  
with SI Gaurav.

**CORAM:**

**HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA**

**ORDER**

% **10.12.2025**

**CRL.M.A. 36815/2025 (Early Hearing)**

1. An Application has been filed on behalf of the Petitioner for preponement of Date of hearing.
2. It is submitted in the Application that the Petition has been filed for quashing of FIR. However, the matter was adjourned on 13.11.2025 on the ground that the Complainant is out of India. She is likely to return to India and, therefore, the matter may be preponed.
3. There is no ticket or any other documentary evidence or otherwise to produced or annexed with the Application to show when the Complainant is likely to come to India. The Petition is here by dismissed with liberty to move an Application as and when the Respondent No.2 comes back to India.
4. The Application stands disposed of accordingly.

**NEENA BANSAL KRISHNA, J**

**DECEMBER 10, 2025/va**