



\$~48 to 55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010886612012

+ **W.P.(C) 6213/2012**

SMT. ZUBEDA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Saini, Mr. Abhishek,
Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER MCD AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

CNR No. DLHC010886662012

+ **W.P.(C) 6214/2012**

CHANDER MOHINI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Saini, Mr. Abhishek,
Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER MCD AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

CNR No. DLHC010886712012

+ **W.P.(C) 6215/2012**

JAYANTI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Saini, Mr. Abhishek,
Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF MCD AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Standing Counsel
for MCD.



CNR No. DLHC010886762012

+ W.P.(C) 6216/2012

SMT. ZARINA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Saini, Mr. Abhishek,
Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF MCD AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

CNR No. DLHC010886832012

+ W.P.(C) 6217/2012

NAZMA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Saini, Mr. Abhishek,
Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF MCD AND ORS

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

CNR No. DLHC010886872012

+ W.P.(C) 6218/2012

BASANTI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Saini, Mr. Abhishek,
Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER OF MCD AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

CNR No. DLHC010886902012

+ W.P.(C) 6220/2012

MEENA BEGUM

.....Petitioner



Through: Mr. R.K. Saini, Mr. Abhishek,
Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER MCD AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

CNR No. DLHC011249482013

+ W.P.(C) 5346/2013

LAD KUMARI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. R.K. Saini, Mr. Abhishek,
Advocates.

versus

COMMISSIONER EAST DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mishra, Standing Counsel
for MCD.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER

31.07.2026

%

1. These matters have been placed before this Court pursuant to a statement made before the Roster Bench by the counsel for the respondents, that in view of the judgment dated 30th May 2025, nothing further survives in these petitions.

2. The statement made by the counsel for the respondents is completely belied by the categorical observation made in *paragraph 34* in the judgment dated 30th May 2025 passed by this Bench is extracted as under:



“34. As regards prayers (b) to (e), extracted below, these aspects would still need to be considered, de hors the aspect of ID Act. The said prayers are extracted as under:

“(b) Set aside the termination of services of the petitioner as illegal and unjustified and

(c) Direct the respondents to reinstate the petitioner in service along with full backwages (@ minimum wages), continuity of service and all consequently benefits

(d) Direct that the petitioner be paid the differential amount between the minimum wages and the scale of aaya with effect from 1999 as claimed in her claim statement.

(e) Grant any other relief which this Hon’ble Court deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.”

3. Only the issue of jurisdiction of claims under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 was adjudicated by the Court and a reasoned order was passed limited on that issue. However, the other issues had not been considered and, the matter had been listed subsequently on 25th August 2025 for that purpose.

4. It is for the Roster Bench to consider whether the claims on other pending issues survive or not.

5. *Mr. R.K. Saini*, counsel for petitioners, states that the matter be listed before the Bench dealing with service matters instead of the Bench dealing with labour matters. This plea may be taken up before the Roster Bench.

6. List before the Roster Bench on 10th August 2026.

7. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

JULY 31, 2026/ak