



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 967/2024**

SARITA DEVI

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Vinod Kumar & Mr.
Shashank Sharma, Advs.
(appeared through VC)

versus

SARASWATI DEVI & ORS.

..... Defendant

Through: Mr. Abhinav Garg, Adv.
(appeared through VC) & Mohd.
Shariq, Adv. for D-1 to 3
None for D-4
Mr. Harshal Arora, Adv. for D-5

CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. MANISH
SHARMA, (DHJS)

ORDER
03.02.2025

%

1. As per office note, defendant nos.1 to 4 have been served.
2. Service report of the summons issued to the defendant no.5 received back unserved with the report 'AP/Official present told that the case does not pertain to this office, Mongolpuri is in Rohini'. However, Mr. Harshal Arora, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the defendant no.5.
3. In view of the above, all the defendants in the matter stand served.
4. Affidavit of compliance has been filed on behalf of the



plaintiff.

5. Learned counsel for defendant nos.1 to 3 submits that he has filed written statement, reply to IA, vakalatnama and documents vide diary nos. 776746/25, 776686/25, 776646/25 & 776886/25 respectively. However, the same have not come on record. Learned counsel for defendant nos.1 to 3 is requested to check it up with the Registry and have the same placed on record.
6. Let the pleadings be completed as per law.
7. Re-notify the matter for completion of pleadings and admission/denial of documents on **28th March, 2025.**

MANISH SHARMA, (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)
FEBRUARY 3, 2025/nk
Click here to check corrigendum, if any