



\$~13

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 1113/2010**

N.K. ARORA

..... Plaintiff

Through **Ms. Parul Sharma Adv.**

versus

SUNIL ARORA

..... Defendant

Through **Ms. Prince Ponnann Adv.**

CORAM:

**SANJEEV AGGARWAL (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

% 24.10.2017

CS(OS) 1113/2010 & CC 9/2017

Learned counsel for defendant has carried out admission/denial of documents of plaintiff and has admitted two documents, which are marked as Ex. P-1 and P-2. Regarding documents of defendant, it is contended by learned counsel for plaintiff that certain documents were filed by defendant alongwith their application u/O IX rule 7 CPC and the same could not have been done, however if they are indeed relying upon said documents, which were not filed alongwith written statement. Then defendant should take stand, whether they rely upon the said documents or not, consequently it is stated by counsel for defendant that they are very much relying upon the said documents.

Learned counsel for defendant submits that she has also filed certain fresh documents in support of her claim vide diary no.471992

Contd..2



-2-

on 23.10.2017, the same is strongly objected to by learned counsel for plaintiff, who submits that same cannot be done without the leave of the court, since said documents have not come on record this objection shall be decided on next date of hearing.

The defendant is directed to produce the original documents on the next date for the perusal of learned counsel for plaintiff, during admission/denial.

Re-notify for admission/denial of documents and marking of exhibits on 22.01.2018.

**SANJEEV AGGARWAL (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

OCTOBER 24, 2017/cd