



\$~9

*

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+

CS(OS) 1113/2010

N.K. ARORA

..... Plaintiff

Through: Mr.Soin Khan, proxy Adv.

versus

SUNIL ARORA

..... Defendant

Through: Ms.Pavitra Kaur, Adv.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. DEVENDER KUMAR
(DHJS)**

ORDER

%

22.01.2021

Evidence in this case is to be recorded before learned L.C. On the last date of hearing, both parties were not agreed for recording of evidence by learned L.C. through video conferencing and it was observed that evidence is to be recorded in the presence of both parties. Plaintiff was supposed to contact to learned L.C., but learned proxy counsel for plaintiff present before the court is not in position to state as to whether learned L.C. has been contacted by the plaintiff or not.

Learned counsel for defendant has submitted that plaintiff may be in judicial custody as one affidavit of plaintiff filed in another case was attested by Jail Superintendant, but again learned proxy counsel for plaintiff is not aware about it. Let learned counsel for plaintiff to contact to learned L.C. regarding fixing of schedule of evidence and



to apprise to this Court about it by next date of hearing. Schedule likely to be fixed by learned L.C. should also be communicated to learned counsel for defendant so that evidence may be recorded by learned L.C. in the presence of both parties.

Put up for further proceedings on 12.05.2021.

JANUARY 22, 2021/tp

DEVENDER KUMAR (DHJS), J

Click here to check corrigendum, if any