



\$~85 & 86

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CRL.M.C. 9410/2024, CRL.M.A. 36080/2024 & CRL.M.A. 9094/2025**

SAURABH KUMAR PANDEYPetitioner
Through: Mr. Sugam Mishra, Advocate.
versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for State
with SI Sunil.
Mr. Somdev Tiwari, Advocate
(through video conferencing).

+ **CRL.M.C. 671/2025 & CRL.M.A. 3196/2025**

SAURABH KUMAR PANDEYPetitioner
Through: Mr. Sugam Mishra, Advocate.
versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI & ANR.Respondents
Through: Mr. Amit Ahlawat, APP for State
with SI Sunil.
Mr. Somdev Tiwari, Advocate
(through video conferencing).

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

% **ORDER**
28.07.2026

1. Matters are taken up on mentioning. Adjournment requested by counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the disputes stand settled between the petitioner (*accused*) and the complainant. However, learned counsel for complainant submits that complainant has not been made a party in this petition, though settlement talks are on.



2. But learned APP objects to the adjournment on the ground that petitions itself is not maintainable, because the FIR sought to be quashed by the petitioner is an offence against the State.
3. Matters be called at its turn.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

JULY 28, 2026/ry

4. Despite order passed in the mentioning call, learned counsel for petitioner seeks adjournment on the ground that he has to engage a Senior Advocate. Looking into previous record, adjournment request is allowed, but the interim order dated 02.12.2024 is vacated in both these cases.
5. Relist on 15.12.2026 in Advance List.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

JULY 28, 2026
‘rs’