



\$~33

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CS(COMM) 1192/2025**
RCF S.P.APlaintiff

Through: Mr. Sharabh Shrivastava, Ms.
Taaniyaa Dogra & Ms. Anushka
Aman, Advocates.

versus

SUKRAJ KUMAR TRADING AS S.K. RAJ DJ
SOUNDSDefendant

Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJAS KARIA

ORDER
10.11.2025

%

I.A. 27711/2025 (Exemption)

1. Exemption is allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The Application stands disposed of.

I.A. 27712/2025 (Exemption from pre-institution Mediation)

3. This is an Application filed by the Plaintiff seeking exemption from instituting pre-litigation Mediation under Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 ("CC Act").
4. As the present matter contemplates urgent interim relief, in light of the judgment of the Supreme Court in *Yamini Manohar v. T.K.D. Krithi*, 2023 SCC OnLine SC 1382, exemption from the requirement of pre-institution Mediation is granted.
5. The Application stands disposed of.



I.A. 27714/2025 (Exemption from advance service to the Defendant)

6. This is an Application filed by the Plaintiffs under Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (“CPC”), seeking exemption from advance service to the Defendant.

7. Mr. Sharabh Shrivastava, learned Counsel for the Plaintiff, submitted that there is a real and imminent likelihood that the Defendant may take immediate steps to dispose of, conceal or suppress its infringing business operations and digital footprints bearing the deceptively similar Trade Marks.

8. In view of the fact that the Plaintiff has sought an urgent *ex-parte ad-interim* injunction along with the appointment of the Local Commissioner, the exemption from advance service to the Defendant is granted.

9. The Application is disposed of.

I.A. 27713/2025 (seeking leave to file certain documents on a CD / pen drive)

10. This Application has been filed by Plaintiff seeking permission to place on record CD / pen drive containing video clips of Defendant’s infringing activities.

11. In the facts and circumstances as stated in the Application, the same is allowed. The CD / pen drive be taken on record.

12. Accordingly, the Application stands disposed of.

CS(COMM) 1192/2025

13. Let the Plaint be registered as a Suit.

14. Issue Summons. Let the Summons be served to the Defendant through all permissible modes upon filing of the Process Fee.

15. The Summons shall state that the Written Statement shall be filed by the Defendant within 30 days from the date of the receipt of Summons. Along



with the Written Statement, the Defendant shall also file an Affidavit of Admission / Denial of the documents of the Plaintiff, without which the Written Statement shall not be taken on record.

16. Liberty is granted to the Plaintiff to file Replication, if any, within 30 days from the receipt of the Written Statement. Along with the Replication filed by the Plaintiff, an Affidavit of Admission / Denial of the documents of Defendant be filed by the Plaintiff, without which the Replication shall not be taken on record.

17. In case any Party is placing reliance on a document, which is not in their power and possession, its details and source shall be mentioned in the list of reliance, which shall also be filed with the pleadings.

18. If any of the Parties wish to seek inspection of any documents, the same shall be sought and given within the prescribed timelines.

19. List before the learned Joint Registrar on 24.12.2025 for completion of service and pleadings.

I.A. 27710/2025 (O-XI R-1(4) of the CPC)

20. The present Application has been filed on behalf of the Plaintiff under Order XI Rule 1(4) of the CPC as applicable to Commercial Suits under the CC Act seeking leave to place on record additional documents.

21. The Plaintiff is permitted to file additional documents in accordance with the provisions of the CC Act and the Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018.

22. Accordingly, the Application stands disposed of.

I.A. 27709/2025 (U/O XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 of CPC)

23. This is an Application filed under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 seeking *ad-interim* injunction against the CS(COMM) 1192/2025

Page 3 of 29



Defendant.

24. Issue Notice. Notice be served through all permissible modes upon filing of the Process Fees.

25. The learned Counsel for the Plaintiff has made the following submissions:

25.1 The Plaintiff is a Joint Stock company duly organized and existing under the laws of Italy. The Plaintiff has honestly and *bona fide* adopted the Mark ‘RCF’ and has been using the same globally since at least 1949. The Plaintiff has widely used the said Mark and its

variants “”, “”, “”, etc. (“**RCF Marks**”) in India since at least 1994.

25.2 The Plaintiff has honestly and *bona fide* adopted the Mark ‘TT’ and has been using the same globally since at least 2006. The Plaintiff has since then widely used the Mark ‘TT’ and its variants including but

not limited to “TT+ AUDIO”, “TT+” “”, “” (“**TT Marks**”) in India and across the world.

25.3 The Plaintiff has honestly and *bona fide* conceived and adopted the Trade Mark ‘HDL’ and its variants including ‘’ (“**HDL Marks**”) and has been selling goods bearing the ‘HDL’ formative Marks since at least 2012 globally and in India since at least 30.09.2013.



25.4 The Plaintiff has come to be known as a global leader in respect of various goods in Class 9 including Speaker, Sound Boxes, Sound Amplifiers, Microphones, Video Projectors, Loudspeakers, Loudspeaker Cabinets; Loudspeaker Systems, Audio Loudspeaker systems; Apparatus and instruments for reproducing, transmitting, processing, amplifying of sound and transducers.

25.5 The following table reflects the overall sales turnover and advertisement expenses of the Plaintiff under the RCF Marks worldwide:

Year	Sales Turnover worldwide (In Euros)	Advertisement / Promotional Expenses (In Euros)
2010	49.770.288	506.428
2011	52.413.642	429.842
2012	58.156.189	424.481
2013	60.167.667	454.991
2014	62.949.662	461.867
2015	73.362.658	359.202
2016	81.218.328	526.095
2017	89.534.000	444.718
2018	96.836.133	426.606
2019	104.312.379	361.299
2020	49.829.099	220.376
2021	69.005.349	146.412



2022	115.196.020	200.970
2023	154.000.208	283.632
2024	176.343.867	295.376

25.6 The following table reflects the overall sales turnover and advertisement expenses of the Plaintiff under the RCF Marks in India:

Year	Sales Turnover in India (In Euros)	Advertisement / Promotional Expenses (In Euros)
2011	793.021	10.800
2012	613.296	10.800
2013	1.125.421	10.800
2014	1.547.378	10.800
2015	2.299.393	10.800
2016	2.629.526	10.800
2017	2.788.033	10.800
2018	3.449.097	10.800
2019	2.827.670	10.800
2020	152.928	10.800
2021	533.617	10.800
2022	2.228.760	10.800
2023	3.074.563	10.800



2024	5.889.801	10.800
2025	2.961.966	5.400

25.7 The Plaintiff is one of the few loudspeaker manufacturers worldwide with the ability to completely design and manufacture all the aspects of a sound system, i.e., transducers, speaker systems, amplification, and management software. The Plaintiff is widely known and recognized for its goods under its said RCF, TT and HDL Marks.

25.8 The Plaintiff is the registered proprietor of the RCF Marks in India. Some of the Plaintiff's registration in India, which are valid and subsisting, are as under:

Mark	Application number and Date	Status, Registration and Validity details
	842060 Dated: February 19, 1999	Registered Certificate No. 501132 Dated: December 29, 2005 Published in Journal No.: 1328-3 Dated: February 28, 2005 Renewed up to: February 19, 2029
Goods: Class 09: <i>Speaker, Sound Boxes, Sound Amplifiers, Microphones, Video-Projectors Included in Class 9.</i>		
RCF PRECISION TRANSDUCERS	IRDI-3949623 International	Protection Granted



	Registration Number: 1422890 Dated: June 11, 2018	Published in Journal No.: 1870-0 Dated: October 08, 2018 Statement of grant of protection dated: April 18, 2019 Valid up to: June 11, 2028
Goods Class 09: Public address speaker systems; speaker enclosures; audio amplifiers; loudspeakers; sound reproduction apparatus.		

25.9 The Plaintiff's website '<https://www.rcf.it/en>' provides a platform for consumers to receive information about the Plaintiff and the goods under the RCF Marks, and as a result, the said RCF Marks enjoy tremendous reputation and goodwill.

25.10 In order to protect its rights in the TT marks, the Plaintiff has applied to register its said TT marks in India, the details of which are as follows:

Mark	Application number and Date	Status, Publication, Registration and Validity details
	IRDI-6709232 International filing no.: 1727517 Dated: October 21, 2024	Accepted and Advertised Published in Journal No.: 2223-0 Dated: August 25, 2025

**Goods:****Class 9:**

Loudspeakers; loudspeaker cabinets; loudspeaker systems; audio loudspeaker systems; apparatus and instruments for reproducing of sound; apparatus and instruments for transmitting sound; apparatus and instruments for processing sound; apparatus for amplifying sound.



IRDI-6708881

International Filing
no.: 1728616
Dated: October 21,
2024

Marked for Exam**Goods****Class 09:**

Loudspeakers; loudspeaker cabinets; loudspeaker systems; audio loudspeaker systems; apparatus and instruments for reproducing of sound; apparatus and instruments for transmitting sound; apparatus and instruments for processing sound; apparatus for amplifying sound.

25.11 The Plaintiff's website '<https://www.ttaudio.com/en/about-tt-audio>', provides a platform for consumers to receive information about the TT Marks as well as the goods thereunder and as a result, the said TT Marks enjoy tremendous reputation and goodwill.

25.12 The Plaintiff has also applied for the registration of its HDL Marks in India and the same are pending registration, the details of which are as follows:

Mark	Application number and Date	Status
HDL 20	7250663 Date of Application:	Formalities Check Pass



	September 22, 2025	
Goods: <u>Class 9:</u> <i>Apparatus and instruments for transmitting, reproducing or processing sound; Loudspeaker systems; Loudspeaker units; Loudspeakers with built-in amplifiers; Speakers, portable; Audio Speakers</i>		
HDL	7250664 Date of Application: September 22, 2025	Formalities Check Pass
Goods: <u>Class 9:</u> <i>Apparatus and instruments for transmitting, reproducing or processing sound; Loudspeaker systems; Loudspeaker units; Loudspeakers with built-in amplifiers; Speakers, portable; Audio Speakers</i>		

25.13 The Plaintiff publishes news updates, blogs, etc. on its websites, which keep the consumers updated on the latest developments and information with respect to the Plaintiff and the goods under the RCF, TT, and HDL Marks. Thus, the consumers associate the goods under the RCF, TT, and HDL Marks with the Plaintiff exclusively.

25.14 The Plaintiff has presence over social media websites such as Facebook, LinkedIn, Instagram, YouTube, X etc., where it has significant following, and the details regarding the goods under the said RCF, TT, and HDL Marks have been provided.

25.15 The Plaintiff has invested substantial time, money and effort in establishing strong public recognition of the RCF, TT, and HDL Marks in connection with high quality audio products. As a result, the said RCF, TT, and HDL Marks have acquired immense goodwill and considerable reputation among the consumers. Further, owing to the Plaintiff's prior



adoption and extensive use of the said RCF, TT, and HDL Marks, the Plaintiff has gained unfettered and exclusive rights over the same.

25.16 The Plaintiff owns the copyright in the artistic works “”,

“” “”, which have been creatively and innovatively put together by the Plaintiff. Furthermore, the Plaintiff has also developed the unique artistic work in the said TT marks

including but not limited to “” “”, “”, and the said HDL marks including but not limited to its stylized variants “

” “”.

25.17 The Marks “” “” “” have been uniquely conceived and created with the word ‘RCF’ rendered in bold capital letters, enclosed within a circular device, and presented in a distinctive black-and-white colour combination (“**RCF Trade Dress**”).

25.18 The Marks “”, “”, “”, have been specially and creatively designed with stylized artistic elements, comprising bold geometric strokes that form a distinctive representation of the letters “TT” (“**TT Trade Dress**”).



25.19 The Marks “” and “” have been designed by the Plaintiff with creative skill and judgment. These artistic works have been specially conceived and designed by the Plaintiff with a distinctive bold and solid typographical style, wherein the letters “HDL” are reproduced in block capital letters with uniform thickness (“**HDL Trade Dress**”).

25.20 The Plaintiff is the owner and original author of the Copyright in the aforesaid literary and artistic works (“**Plaintiff’s Artistic Works**”) within the meaning of Section 17 of the Copyright Act, 1957, and is entitled to all exclusive rights arising out of such ownership.

25.21 The goods bearing the RCF, TT, and HDL Marks consist of a unique and distinctive trade dress, which has come to be exclusively associated with the Plaintiff. The overall look, feel, surface ornamentation, lay out and get up of the Plaintiff’s audio equipment, including the reinforced structural design, water-resistant birch enclosures, durable polyurea coating, high-strength steel grills, ergonomic handles, and precision-machined aluminium heat sinks, renders the products visually striking and immediately distinguishable from competing goods. The representation of the Plaintiff’s said goods is reproduced hereinbelow:



“RCF” BRANDED PRODUCTS





“TT” BRANDED PRODUCTS



“HDL” BRANDED PRODUCTS





25.22 The present Suit has been filed by the Plaintiff against the Defendant, which to the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, owns and operates a shop in the name 'S.K. Raj DJ Sounds' in Sri Niwaspuri, New Delhi – 110065. The Defendant is involved in the business of manufacturing and assembly of audio products and offering for sale, advertising, and dealing in counterfeit goods bearing the 'RCF', 'TT' and 'HDL' Marks.


25.23 The Plaintiff first came to know about the existence of the Defendant in the month of June 2025 when it came across the Defendant's Instagram page with the username 's_k_raj_dj_sounds96'. On further delving into the matter, the Plaintiff learnt that the Defendant also operated another Instagram page with the username 'delhi_dj_vlogs' and had his own YouTube channel under the same username, i.e., 's_k_raj_dj_sounds96'. On both Instagram and YouTube pages operated and managed by the Defendant, the Plaintiff found that the Defendant is dealing in, advertising, promoting and offering for sale counterfeit products under the RCF Mark. Consequently, the Plaintiff engaged an investigator to determine the nature and scope of business activities conducted by the Defendant, particularly relating to the products under RCF, TT, and HDL Marks. Upon carrying out a search, the Investigator discovered that the Defendant had posted product images and videos on social media websites like Instagram, Facebook and YouTube and had uploaded several videos of manufacturing, marketing, and offering for sale audio products such as speakers and transducers featuring the Plaintiff's RCF, TT, and HDL Marks.



25.24 The Investigator also contacted the Defendant over phone during which the Defendant confirmed to the investigator that he operates a shop in Sri Niwas Puri, New Delhi. Upon visiting the Defendant's shop on 18.06.2025, the Defendant's employees confirmed that the Defendant deals in DJ instruments and can provide goods bearing the Marks 'RCF', 'TT' and 'HDL'.

25.25 The Investigator visited the Defendant's shop once again on 07.07.2025, where he met the Defendant and learnt that the Defendant is a vlogger who has been covering shops and factories dealing in DJ instruments. The Defendant stated that he has been involved in the manufacturing of speakers and DJ cabinets on a 'made-to-order' basis which typically takes around one week to complete and at the time of delivery, he confirms his client's preference of brand and applies the requested brand stickers accordingly. Some images of the brand stickers as are also available on Defendant's social media pages are reproduced hereinbelow:







25.26 The Defendant admitted to counterfeiting the Plaintiff's goods to the Investigator, but also openly confirms and advertises the same through several videos posted on his social media pages. Details of some admissions of the Defendant pertaining to his illegal activities are reproduced hereinbelow:




Screenshot of the Defendant's video	Time (in minutes/seconds)	Transcript and Link to the Defendant's video
	1. 0:26-0:30 2. 1:35- 1:41	1. "...ek nahi do nahi teen nahi chaar chaar top hone wale hai jo aap logon ka RCF model mein rehne wala..." 2. "...quality dikhate hain yeh dekhiye cabinet ki quality, cabinet ki quality ek number finishing jaise ki dekh sakte ho puri lighting mein aap logo ka cabinet rehne wala hai yeh RCF ki aap logo ko design diya gaya hai..." https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aG5A9838VyU
	1. 0:01- 0:04 2. 0:25- 0:28	1. "...iss tareeke se lag chuka hai jaise ki aap dekh sakte ho jo humara RCF ka cabinet rehne wala hai..." 2. "...jaise ki aap dekh sakte ho yahan pe aap logo ko RCF body mein aap logo ke liye aane wala hai..." https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vPL8xat-TBc



	0:00-0:09	“agar aap apne DJ cabinet ko premium quality mein bana chahte ho toh aap log kuch iss tareeke se bana sakte ho, yeh imported stickers use kar sakte ho kaafi badhiya quality mein aap logon ko milne wala hai RCF ka jaise ki aap dekh rahe ho yeh aapka imported mein rehne wala hai...” https://www.instagram.com/reel/C5V-tHR6e0/?igsh=c3Njd2Nxc2pldWlj
	0:00- 0:07	“yeh saare ke saare imported stickers TT+, JBL, RCF, JBL, JBL, RCF, JBL...” https://www.instagram.com/reel/C53PloXR5Mp/?igsh=eDJsMGNtdDdjMHZj

25.27 Some images of the Defendant’s products bearing the ‘RCF’, ‘TT’, and ‘HDL’ Marks showcased on the Defendant’s social media pages are reproduced hereinbelow:



25.28 The goods offered by the Plaintiff and the Defendant under the 'RCF', 'TT' and 'HDL' Marks are identical in nature, which significantly increases the likelihood of confusion. This deliberate and



admitted use of identical marks by the Defendant in respect of identical goods indicates a wilful attempt to infringe upon the rights of the Plaintiff in the said marks and pass off its poor quality and counterfeit goods as that of the Plaintiff.

25.29 A side-by-side comparison of the Marks used by the Plaintiff and Defendant is reproduced below:

PLAINTIFF'S MARKS	DEFENDANT'S IMPUGNED MARK
	
	
HDL	HDL 20

25.30 The Defendant's actions constitute Trade Mark and Copyright infringement and passing off, as the likelihood of confusion and deception amongst the members of the public is inevitable if the Defendant is permitted to use RCF, TT and HDL Marks in respect of counterfeit products. The Defendant ought to be restrained from taking undue advantage of the goodwill and reputation of the Plaintiff's products under RCF, TT, and HDL Marks.



26. Having considered the pleadings, documents placed on record and the submissions made by the learned Counsel for the Plaintiff, it is *prima facie* clear that the Defendant is engaged in selling counterfeit products bearing the Plaintiff's Marks 'RCF', 'TT' and 'HDL'.

27. The Plaintiff has built significant reputation and goodwill for its products under the Marks 'RCF', 'TT' and 'HDL'. The Defendant's usage of the said Marks on its products gives a false impression to the consumers that the said products originate from the Plaintiff. If the Defendant is not restrained immediately, the Defendant's actions are likely to not only cause grave prejudice to the Plaintiff but also to the consumers who will buy Defendant's products under the impression that the said products originate from the Plaintiff, thereby consequently leading to the dilution of the Plaintiff's goodwill and reputation.

28. Therefore, a *prima facie* case is made out for the grant of an *ad-interim* injunction. Irreparable injury will be caused to the Plaintiff if an *ad-interim* injunction is not granted and the balance of convenience lies in favour of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant.

29. Accordingly, till the next date of hearing, it is directed that:


- i. The Defendant, its agents, affiliates, members, franchisees, officers, employees, servants, agents, delegates, representatives, assignees, associates and all others acting for and on behalf of the Defendant, are restrained from offering, advertising, supplying, manufacturing, selling, promoting, dealing in speakers and / or any allied / similar / cognate products under:



(a). The Mark ‘RCF / ’, and / or any other Trade Mark that is identical with or deceptively similar to the Mark ‘RCF / ’ in any manner, amounting to infringement and / or passing off.

(b). The RCF Marks “” / “ **PRECISION TRANSOUCCERS**” and / or

TT Marks “” / “” / “ **TT+ AUDIO**” and / or

HDL Marks “” / “” and / or any other Mark that is identical with or deceptively similar to the said Marks in any manner, amounting to passing off.

ii. The Defendant, its agents, affiliates, members, franchisees, officers, employees, servants, agents, delegates, representatives, assignees, associates and all others acting for and on behalf of the Defendant, are restrained from copying, communicating, providing, reproducing, launching, advertising, directly or indirectly any works using the

Plaintiff’s Artistic Works in the RCF Trade Dress, “” /

“” / “ **PRECISION TRANSOUCCERS**”, and / or TT Trade Dress,



“TT+” / “” / “”, and / or HDL Trade Dress, “” / “” amounting to infringement of the Plaintiff’s copyright in the Plaintiff’s Artistic Works.

30. Let the Reply be filed, along with all the documents which the Defendant wish to rely upon, within a period of three weeks from date.

31. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of one week thereafter.

32. List before this Court on 02.03.2026.

I.A. 27715/2025 (for Appointment of Local Commissioner)

33. The present Application has been filed by the Plaintiff under Order XXVI Rule 9 and 10 read with Order XXXIX Rule 7 and Section 151 of the CPC seeking appointment of a Local Commissioner. The Court has considered the merits of the Plaintiff’s case and has granted an *ex-parte ad-interim* injunction as recorded above in I.A. 27709/2025 under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 of the CPC.

34. Accordingly, in order to ensure that the injunction is fully complied with, it is deemed appropriate to appoint Local Commissioner to visit the Defendant’s premises at the following address:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Name of Local Commissioner
1.	Karpoori Thkur Janjivan Camp, Sri Niwasपुरi, New Delhi – 110065,	Mr. Harsh Srivastava, Advocate [Mobile No.: +91 8601878743]



35. The mandate of the learned Local Commissioner is as under:

i) The learned Local Commissioner shall visit the premises of the Defendant as per the above table, to inspect and seize any fully or semi-manufactured infringing products of the Defendant bearing the Mark / Label 'RCF' / 'TT' / 'HDL' or Packaging / Trade Dress which is identical or deceptively similar to the Plaintiff's Trade Mark 'RCF' / 'TT' / 'HDL' and / or Plaintiff's RCF / TT / HDL Trade Dress:



ii) If knowledge is acquired of any other premises than the aforesaid premises, where the goods bearing the 'RCF' and / or 'TT' and / or 'HDL' Marks could be stored or services can be provided from, the learned Local Commissioner is free to record the same and then visit the other premises and conduct a seizure there as well;

iii) The learned Local Commissioner shall also inspect and seize any product materials including pamphlets, brochures, stickers, packaging materials, dyes or blocks used for preparing the manufacturing materials, display boards, sign boards, advertising material, dies or blocks, unfinished, packed, unpacked infringing goods or any other documents, wrapper etc. so that it can be ensured that no fresh manufacturing of the infringing products can take place;

iv) The learned Local Commissioner shall also obtain the details as to since when infringing goods or products are being used by the



Defendant and obtain copies of the accounts if the same is found to be sold in market;

v) The learned Local Commissioner shall obtain accounts including ledgers, stock registers, invoice books, receipt books, cash books, purchase and sale records and any other books of record or commercial transactions kept at the premises of the Defendant, and take photocopy and / or record of all such transactions that pertain to infringing goods, if any. The Defendant shall cooperate and give passwords to the computers and the files containing the accounts, if the same is stored on the computer or a specific software;

vi) After preparation of the inventory, the infringing goods including packaging materials, advertising, promotional materials, pamphlets, brochures, boxes, videos, hoardings, banners, signage, cartons and other material bearing the 'RCF' and / or 'TT' and / or 'HDL' Marks shall be released to the Defendant on *superdari*. The monetary value of the stock shall also be ascertained;

vii) The learned Local Commissioner is also permitted to break open the locks, with police help, if access to the premises where the infringing goods and products have been stocked / manufactured, is denied to the Commissioner;

viii) Upon being requested, the concerned Station House Officer (SHO) shall render necessary cooperation for execution of the Commission, as per this order;

ix) The learned Local Commissioner is permitted to take photographs and record videos of the proceedings of the Commission, if it is deemed appropriate. Two representatives of the Plaintiff, which



would include a lawyer, are permitted to accompany the learned Local Commissioner;

x) The learned Local Commissioner, while executing the Commission, shall ensure that there is no disruption to the business of the Defendant, except for the purposes of the execution of the Commission. The Commission shall be executed in a peaceful manner.

36. Either the learned Counsel for the Plaintiff or the learned Local Commissioner is directed to collect the certified copy of this Order from the Registry (Dispatch Branch) before the execution of the Commission.

37. The learned Local Commissioner shall carry the certified copy of this Order for execution of the Commission and a copy of the same shall be served upon the Defendant by the learned Local Commissioner at the time of the execution of the Commission.

38. The fees of the learned Local Commissioner is fixed at ₹1,00,000/- (Rupees One Lakh only) excluding out of pocket expenses, travel, lodging etc. All the aforesaid expenses shall be borne by the Plaintiff and paid in advance to the learned Local Commissioner named hereinabove.

39. The Commission shall be executed on 19.11.2025, and the report of the learned Local Commissioner shall be filed within a period of two weeks thereafter.

40. Compliance of Order XXXIX Rule 3 of CPC shall be done within two weeks after the execution of the Commission.

41. It is directed that this Order shall be uploaded on the Court's website after the execution of the Commission is completed, to enable effective execution thereof.



42. List before this Court on 02.03.2026.
43. Order *dasti* under the signature of the Court Master.

TEJAS KARIA, J

NOVEMBER 10, 2025/ 'A'