



\$~8, 66, 75 & 76

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P. 435/2025 & CRL.M.As. 32659/2025, 32660/2025**

LALIT

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kanhaiya Singhal, Mr. Rishabh Bharadwaj, Ms. Shatakshi Singh, Mr. Pulkit Jolly, Ms. Avantika Shankar and Ms. Vaani Singhal, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Sanjeev Sabharwal, APP for State.
Inspector Pawan Kumar, PCR Unit with SI Raj Kumar, P.S. Alipur.

+ **CRL.REV.P. 451/2025 & CRL.M.As. 33281-33282/2025**

RAJPAL

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kanhaiya Singhal, Mr. Rishabh Bharadwaj, Ms. Shatakshi Singh, Mr. Pulkit Jolly, Ms. Avantika Shankar and Ms. Vaani Singhal, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for State.
Inspector Pawan Kumar, PCR Unit with SI Raj Kumar, P.S. Alipur.

+ **CRL.REV.P. 443/2025 & CRL.M.As. 32931-32932/2025**

MUKESH @ BABLOO

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kanhaiya Singhal, Mr. Rishabh Bharadwaj, Ms. Shatakshi Singh, Mr. Pulkit Jolly, Ms. Avantika Shankar and Ms. Vaani Singhal, Advocates.

versus



STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for State.

Inspector Pawan Kumar, PCR Unit with SI Raj Kumar, P.S. Alipur.

+ **CRL.REV.P. 445/2025 & CRL.M.As. 33078-33080/2025**

NIRMALA DEVI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Kanhaiya Singhal, Mr. Rishabh Bharadwaj, Ms. Shatakshi Singh, Mr. Pulkit Jolly, Ms. Avantika Shankar and Ms. Vaani Singhal, Advocates.

versus

STATE NCT OF DELHI & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Yudhvir Singh Chauhan, APP for State.

Inspector Pawan Kumar, PCR Unit with SI Raj Kumar, P.S. Alipur.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV NARULA

ORDER

11.11.2025

%

1. The Petitioner's challenge is confined to the charge framed against the Petitioners under Section 304 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860¹. It is contended that as per the charge-sheet, there is no material to substantiate the said charge. The post-mortem report records that there were no external injuries on the body of the deceased except for a minor abrasion measuring 1.5 cm x 0.25 cm, transversely placed over the lower part of the left knee joint. No other external injury was noted. Furthermore, the final opinion regarding the cause of death remains inconclusive. In view of the absence of

¹ "IPC"



any evidence to support the prosecution's case that the injuries allegedly inflicted by the Petitioners could have resulted in the death of the deceased, it is argued that there was no material to give rise to a suspicion justifying the framing of a charge under Section 304 IPC against the Petitioners.

2. Issue notice. APP for the State accept notice.
3. Status report be filed on or before the next date of hearing, and a copy thereof be supplied to the opposite party.
4. Issue notice to Respondent No. 2, by all permissible modes, upon filing of process fee, returnable on the next date of hearing.
5. Renotify on 19th January, 2026.

SANJEEV NARULA, J

NOVEMBER 11, 2025

as