



\$~64

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 3949/2024**

MS AMAR AGRO PVT LTD AND OTHERSPetitioners

Through: Mr. Shankar Vaidialingam and Mr.
Shivain Vaidialingam, Advocates

versus

MANOJ KUMARRespondent

Through: Mr. S.B.Sharma, Adv. with
respondent in person.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVINDER DUDEJA

% **ORDER**
02.12.2024

CM APPL. 70008/2024 (exemption)

Application allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

The application stands disposed of.

CM(M) 3949/2024 & CM APPL. 70009/2024 (stay)

1. The present petition challenges the impugned orders dated 03.10.2023 and 01.10.2024 passed by the Trial Court in the suit for recovery and alternatively for providing the plot.
2. The petitioners are defendants in the civil suit.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submit that trial court has erroneously observed that the petitioners did not deny the execution of documents i.e General Power of Attorney, Agreement to Sell, Affidavit



and Receipt, all dated 14.11.1996 allegedly executed by the grand-father of petitioner no.3 in favour of the mother of the respondent. It is further submitted that Review Application filed against the order dated 03.10.2023 has also been dismissed by the Trial Court vide order dated 01.10.2024.

4. Issue notice to the respondent.
5. Learned counsel representing the respondent accepts notice and wants to file reply.
6. Let reply be filed within a period of four weeks with a copy to the opposite side. Rejoinder, if any, be filed within two weeks thereafter.
7. List on 08.04.2025.

RAVINDER DUDEJA, J

DECEMBER 2, 2024/ib