



\$~109

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1645/2025**

NEHA ARORA

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kashish Dhawan, Advocate.

versus

GURU NANAK PUBLIC SCHOOL & ANR.

.....Respondents

Through:

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

%

06.11.2025

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 68954/2025 (exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Application is disposed of accordingly.

CONT.CAS(C) 1645/2025

3. The present petition under Article 215 of the Constitution of India, 1950 read with Sections 11/12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks following prayers:-

“1. Initiate CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS AGAINST THE ABOVENAMED CONTEMNORS FOR WILFFUL DISOBEDIENCE OF ORDER DATED 22.08.2025 PASSED BY THIS HON'BLE COURT IN W.P.(C)10043/2024 TITLED “NEHA ARORA V. GURU NANAK PUBLIC SCHOOL & ANR.” and thereby award maximum amount of sentence and fine against the respondent school;

2. Direct the respondent school to pay the outstanding salary of the



petitioner for the period commencing from 16.02.2024 till 30.08.2024 along with interest and fine for non-payment; and

3. Pass any other or further order/s as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

4. *Vide* judgment dated 22.08.2025 in W.P.(C) 10043/2024, learned Coordinate Bench of this Court had passed the following directions: -

“14. At the very least, therefore, the petitioner is entitled to full salary for the period 16.02.2024 to 30.08.2024. The School is directed to make payment of the said amount within a period of six weeks from today.

15. Learned counsel for the School and DOE have joined issue as to whether the delay in approval was on grounds attributable to the DOE or to the School. As far as this aspect is concerned, I am of the view that such a dispute cannot come in the way of the petitioner being granted her dues. If the School wishes to assert a claim for recovery against the DOE, it is at liberty to do so, in accordance with law.

16. These directions are without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the parties in the pending appeal against the order of compulsory retirement. It is made clear that this Court has not adjudicated upon the merits of the suspension order.”

5. Issue notice to the respondents, on the petitioner taking necessary steps, through all permissible modes, including electronic mail, if any, returnable on 02.03.2026.

6. Let a compliance report be filed before the next date of hearing.

7. In case of non-compliance, the concerned officer shall remain present in Court on the next date of hearing.

AMIT SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 06, 2025/sn



At 12:40 PM

8. Mr. Gaurav Dhingra, Advocate, appears on behalf of respondent No.2/DOE and has been apprised of the aforesaid order.
9. List on 02.03.2026, the date already fixed.

AMIT SHARMA, J

NOVEMBER 06, 2025/sn