



\$~71

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **TR.P.(C.) 196/2025**

RABBINA KAUR

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Francesca Kapur, Mr.
Divyansh Singh and Mr. Gurdas
Singh, Advocates

versus

SARANJIT KAUR

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Faisal Naseem and Mr.
Mahetaba Asrar, Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **04.11.2025**

CM APPL. 68809/2025 (for exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application stands disposed of.

TR.P.(C.) 196/2025, CM APPL. 68808/2025

3. By virtue of the present petition, the petitioner seeks transfer of the matter being C.S.DJ/941/2025 titled “**Saranjit Kaur v. Rabbina Kaur**” pending before the learned District Judge (Central) Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi to this Court alongwith CS (OS) No.286/2021 titled “**Rabbina Kaur v Saranjit Kaur**”.

4. It is the case of the petitioner that the suit being CS (OS) No.286/2021, which was instituted by the petitioner/ plaintiff before this Court for partition, right of pre-emption and rendition of accounts under



Section 4 of the Partition Act, 1893 read with *Section 44* of the Transfer of Property Act, 1882 and *Section 151* of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908. She submits that it is now that the respondent has also instituted a suit for permanent and mandatory injunction against the petitioner/ plaintiff with respect to one of the properties involved in the earlier suit.

5. During the course of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has also relied upon the judgment dated 10.07.2025 passed by this Court entitled “***Sweetly Sadana & Anr. vs. Kunal Anand & Ors.***”.

6. Considering the aforesaid, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it would be in the interest of justice and in the interest of the parties if the present petition is allowed.

7. Issue notice.

8. Learned counsel for the respondent accepts notice. He seeks and is granted a period of *four weeks* for filing a reply.

9. Rejoinder thereto, if any, be filed within a period of *two weeks* thereafter.

10. *Prima facie*, this Court is of the considered opinion that for effective adjudication of the property, *qua* which the subsequent suit has been instituted by the respondent, the same be tagged alongwith the earlier suit instituted by the petitioner before this Court.

11. Accordingly, renotify on 15.01.2026.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J.

NOVEMBER 04, 2025/So