



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CM(M) 2092/2025**

MANGESH KULKARNI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Bharat Chawla and Ms. Shattika,
Advocates.

versus

P V RAGHUNANDAN

.....Respondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GIRISH KATHPALIA

ORDER

%

03.11.2025

CM APPL. 68285/2025 (exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.

CM(M) 2092/2025 & CM APPL. 68284/2025 (stay)

2. Petitioner/defendant has assailed order dated 07.03.2025 of the learned trial court, whereby the application of petitioner/defendant under Order VII Rule 11 CPC was adjourned for arguments, subject to payment of cost of Rs. 1,000/-.

3. It is contended on behalf of petitioner/defendant that petitioner/defendant has been wrongly debarred from addressing arguments on his application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC without any fault.

4. *Prima facie*, this petition appears to be a complete abuse of process and totally frivolous. It is completely wrong on the part of learned counsel to say that he has been debarred from addressing arguments on his application under Order VII Rule 11 CPC.



5. In view of nature of the impugned order, on being called upon to disclose as to what happened before the trial court on 01.04.2025, learned counsel expresses inability as he does not have complete record.
6. On being called upon to address as to why this petition be not dismissed with costs, learned counsel seeks adjournment to examine the brief again.
7. In the interest of justice, adjournment request is allowed. But it is made clear that there is no stay on proceedings before the trial court.
8. Relist on 25.03.2026.

GIRISH KATHPALIA, J

NOVEMBER 3, 2025/dr