



\$~58

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CRL.REV.P.(MAT.) 111/2024**

MANISH JAIN

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Priyam Mehta and Mr.
Parminder S. Goindi, Advs.

versus

USHA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: None

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SAURABH BANERJEE

ORDER

% **12.03.2026**

CRL.M.A. 7376/2026 (Stay)

1. By virtue of the present application under *Section 528* of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the petitioner seeks stay of the impugned order dated 09.08.2024.
2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner, has drawn the attention of this Court to the earlier order dated 26.11.2024 passed by this Court, whereby the petitioner had undertaken “... ..to pay Rs. 16,000/- towards the maintenance of the two children.”. This, as per the learned counsel, the petitioner is diligently paying till today. However, due to sheer inadvertence this Court *vide* order dated 12.03.2025 recorded that “... .. there is no stay of proceedings before the learned Trial Court.”.
3. He submits that since the application being CRL.M.A. 18927/2025, whereby the petitioner sought restoration of the present petition was itself



allowed *vide* order dated 07.07.2025, and the same was restored to its original number, the earlier order dated 26.11.2024 passed by this Court also stood revived.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks, and is granted, a period of *one week* for filing an affidavit alongwith the requisite documents exhibiting that the petitioner has been complying with his undertaking as recorded *vide* order dated 26.11.2024.

5. Renotify on 30.03.2026.

SAURABH BANERJEE, J

MARCH 12, 2026/Ab