



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **MAT.APP.(F.C.) 390/2025 & CM APPL. 68027/2025**
VEENA PURIAppellant
Through: Mr. Anubhav Gupta, Adv.

versus

DEEPAK PURIRespondent
Through: Ms. Geeta Luthra, Sr. Adv. with Mr.
Rishabh Dahiya, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK CHAUDHARY
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RENU BHATNAGAR

ORDER
29.07.2026

%

CM APPL. 68028/2025 *(seeking condonation of delay of 10 days in filing the appeal)*

1. No objection to the present application has been filed on behalf of the respondent.
2. Having considered the averments made in the application and for the reasons stated therein, sufficient cause has been shown for condonation of delay. Accordingly, the delay is condoned.
3. The application stands disposed of.

MAT.APP.(F.C.) 390/2025 & CM APPL. 68027/2025 *(stay)*

4. The present appeal has been filed under Section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984 assailing the Impugned Judgment and Order dated 23.08.2025 passed by the learned Judge, Family Court, South District, Saket Court, New Delhi in HMA No. 1203/2023 whereby the learned Family Court denied grant of interim maintenance to the Appellant.



5. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that in the order dated 29.05.2026, it has been wrongly stated on behalf of the appellant that “*the respondent has intentionally failed to comply with order directing interim maintenance to the appellant*”. He submits that there was no such order passed by the Court for payment of any *interim* maintenance and, therefore, the said observation is liable to be corrected.
6. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he has no objection to the same.
7. Accordingly, the order dated 29.05.2026 stands modified to the extent that such averment recorded on behalf of the appellant in the said order be deleted.
8. Admit.
9. Let the Trial Court record, in digital format, be requisitioned before the next date of hearing.
10. List on 29.09.2026.

VIVEK CHAUDHARY, J

RENU BHATNAGAR, J

JULY 29, 2026/r/kp