



2026:DHC:5686

\$~216

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1865/2024**
MS SILKI KAURPetitioner

Through: Ms. Deepti Bhagat with Mr. Rajeev
Chauhan, Ms. Aarti Jindal and
Mr. Kulbhushan, Advocates.

versus

GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORSRespondents
Through: Mr. Abhinav Singh with Mr. Praveen
Kumar Kaushik, Advocates for
respondent Nos.1, 2 and 9.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

% **ORDER**
25.11.2024

CM APPL. 68491/2024 (exemption)

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

CONT.CAS(C) 1865/2024

1. The petitioner seeks initiation of contempt proceedings for utter violation of order dated 07.11.2024, passed by the learned Coordinate Bench of this Court in W.P.(C) 15504/2024. The petitioner had preferred an appeal under Rule 22(3)(4) of Delhi Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizen Rules, 2009 before the learned Divisional Commissioner.
2. The appeal was listed before the learned Divisional Commissioner but could not be taken up for hearing and it was in the



2026:DHC:5686

above said backdrop that the petitioner was forced to file the above said writ petition.

3. When the above said writ petition was taken up by the learned Coordinate Bench of this Court, it disposed of the same observing that the impugned order dated 26.09.2024 shall not be enforceable till 22.11.2024 when the matter would be taken up by the learned Divisional Commissioner. It was also observed that in the event, the learned Divisional Commissioner was unable to take up the matter on said date, the protection granted, shall be extended till the date when the interim application moved by the petitioner was taken up by such learned Divisional Commissioner.

4. It is submitted that now the learned Divisional Commissioner has already fixed up the matter for further consideration on 18.12.2024.

5. The grievance of the petitioner is, however, to the effect that despite the above said specific order, the petitioner was forcibly dispossessed on 14.11.2024. The various videos to the above said fact have also been annexed with the petition and her goods were thrown out in utter disregard to the order passed by this Court.

6. When asked, learned counsel for the petitioner further informed that she immediately took up the matter with the concerned SHO and apprised him about the order passed by this Court and, therefore, she is though back in the premises in question, the manner in which she was immediately dispossessed and was harassed, clearly smacks of *mala fide* as well as wilful disobedience of the order passed by learned Coordinate Bench of this Court.

7. Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1, 2 and 9 appears on



2026:DHC:5686

advance notice and submits that only police can apprise as to on what premise they have taken the above said action and it is informed that there was never any further communication from their side after the above said order dated 07.11.2024. It is, therefore, submitted that the response of the concerned police officials may be sought.

8. Nobody appears on behalf of respondent Nos.3 to 6 as well as on behalf of respondent Nos.7 and 8.

9. Issue notice to respondent Nos.3, 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 through all permissible modes including dasti, returnable on 12th February, 2025. Notice be also issued through counsel.

MANOJ JAIN, J

NOVEMBER 25, 2024
st