



\$~3

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 1045/2024**

KLUSTER LLC & ANR.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Swapnil Gaur, Adv.
(M- 9897905254)
(swapnilgaur@ralegal.co.in)

versus

MR. DEVAL RAVINDRABHAI BAMBHANIYA & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Abhinav Bhalla, Adv.
for D-7.
Mr. Abhishek Shivpuri &
Mr. Rahul Bhatt, Adv. for
proposed D-14.
Mr. Nakul Sharma, Adv. for
D-25.

CORAM:

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL), SUDHIR KUMAR
SIROHI (DHJS)**

ORDER

30.04.2026

%

Settlement agreement dated 20.01.2026 has been received from Delhi High Court Mediation & Conciliation Centre, same be taken on record and the matter has been settled between the plaintiff & defendant no. 14, 25 & 26.

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that the matter has been settled qua defendant no. 1 to 3, 4, 5, 11, 57, 69 & 72.

The defendant no. 6, 8, 9 & 10 have been deleted.

Plaintiff is directed to file a chart w.r.t. date of service of remaining defendants before the next date of hearing.

List the matter for the completion of pleadings on **27.08.2026**.



IA No. 8033/2025 (By defendant no. 8 u/O I Rule 10(2) r/w Section 151 CPC)

The defendant no. 8 has already been deleted by the order of the Hon'ble Court dated 22.09.2025, therefore the present IA stands disposed off as infructuous.

IA No. 16845/2025 (By plaintiff u/o I Rule 10 r/w Section 151 CPC)

Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that she does not want not pursue this IA, therefore this IA is disposed off as not pressed.

IA No. 16846/2025 (By defendant no. 7 u/o I Rule 10(2) r/w Section 151 CPC)

This IA will be considered, subject to the outcome of IA No. 24124/2025.

IA No. 24123/2025 (By defendant no. 5 u/o I Rule 10 r/w Section 151 CPC)

The matter has already been settled with defendant no. 5 vide order of the Hon'ble Court dated 03.02.2026, therefore the said IA is infructuous, therefore the said IA is disposed of as infructuous.

IA No. 24124/2025 (By plaintiffs u/o 11 Rule 2(1) r/w Section 151 CPC seeking leave to serve interrogatories upon defendant no. 7)

Reply filed by defendant no. 7 is under objection. Ld. counsel for the defendant no. 7 submits that the objection is w.r.t the delay as the reply was not filed within two weeks in terms of the order dated 02.12.2025. Ld. counsel for the plaintiff submits that even the costs



as per order dated 02.12.2025, not been paid. Same be paid during the course of the day. Ld. counsel for the defendant no. 7 submits that he has filed an application for condonation of delay but the same has not been listed yet. Upon bringing on record reply as per law, thereafter rejoinder, if any be filed in terms of order dated 02.12.2025.

**SUDHIR KUMAR SIROHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

APRIL 30, 2026/jr

Click here to check corrigendum, if any