



\$~1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16406/2025 & CM APPL. 67228/2025**

GAURAV PROTECTION SERVICES PVT LTDPetitioner

Through: Mr. Manish Jha, Sr. Advocate with Ms.
Ritika Gambhir Kohli, Mr. Nikhil Kohli
and Mr. Tushar Mudgil, Advocates

versus

THE DIRECTORATE EDUCATION AND ORSRespondent

Through: Mr. Sameer Vashisht, Standing Counsel
(Civil) with Ms. Harshita Nathrani,
Advocate for GNCTD.
Mr. Birender Kumar, Dy. Director of
Education, and Mr. Dushiyan Nigam, SO
CTB, DTE.
Mr. Iram Majid, CGSC with Mr. Shivam
Mr. Shivam Parashara, Mr. Md. Suboor
and Mr. Anisul Haque (GP), Advocates for
R-4 to 6/VOI.

CORAM:

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TUSHAR RAO GEDELA

ORDER

%

03.11.2025

1. Mr. Sameer Vashisht, learned Standing Counsel for GNCTD files an affidavit, which is taken on record.
2. In the said affidavit, certain reasons have been given as to why the representation made by the petitioner, pursuant to our earlier order passed in W.P.(C) 4227/2025 could not be decided. The reason given are that there was a spate of representations during the earlier process and certain representations were decided by the Purchase Committee/Tender Evaluation Committee, however, on account of some inadvertence, the representation made by the



petitioner could not be decided.

3. In the wake of the said facts, unconditional apology has been sought. While accepting the apology, we may only observe that the authorities concerned should be more vigilant when it comes to obeying and complying the orders passed by any Court of law. Non-disposal of the representation made by the petitioner, which was ordered to be decided by the Court, in fact, reflects poorly on the authorities concerned. It is needless to say that every administrative authority is not only bound by the orders passed by the Court, but they are expected to act in aid of the proceedings of the Court.

4. Nonetheless, on the prayer made by Mr. Vashisht, we permit the competent authority to take decision on the representation preferred by the petitioner within a week from today. The decision so taken shall also be served upon the petitioner and shall be brought to the notice of the Court by way of an affidavit.

5. List on 27.11.2025. Matter to be placed, 'High on Board'.

DEVENDRA KUMAR UPADHYAYA, CJ

TUSHAR RAO GEDELA, J

NOVEMBER 3, 2025

Aj