



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1579/2025**
EX SUB (HONY SUB MAJ) DHANESWAR MAJHI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Durgesh Kr. Sharma, ADv.
versus

DR NITEN CHANDRA IAS & ORS.Respondents
Through: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Mahapatra,
CGSC with Mr. Tribhuvan, Mr.
Raghav Tandon, Adv.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

% **27.10.2025**

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 66526/2025 (exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. This application is disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1579/2025

3. The present petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks the following prayers: -

“A. To summon and punish the contemnors for having committed the contempt of this Hon'ble Court by willfully defying and disobeying order/judgment dt. 01 July 2025 passed in W.P. (C) No. 5123/2024 titled as "UOI & Ors Vs EX SUB (HONY SUB MAJ) DHANESWAR MAJHI" in the interest of justice.

B. To direct the contemnors to obey the order/judgment dt. 01 July 2025 passed in W.P. (C) No. 5123/2024 titled as "UOI & Ors Vs EX SUB (HONY SUB MAJ) DHANESWAR MAJHI" in the interest of justice.

C. To award any other/further relief as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the fact and circumstances of the case in favor of



the petitioner and against the contemnors along with cost, in the interest of justice.”

4. *Vide* order dated 08.11.2023, in OA 188/2020 with MA 197/2020 learned AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi passed the following directions: -

“14. Thus, the OA 188/2020 is allowed and the applicant is held entitled to the grant of the disability element of pension qua Primary Hypertension @ 30% for life which in terms of the verdict of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in UOI & Ors. Vs. Ramavtar Civil Appeal 418/2012 dated 10.12.2014, is rounded off to 50% for life from the date of discharge of the applicant. However, in terms of the verdict of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Union of India & Ors vs Tarsem Singh(2008) 8 SCC 648, the arrears for the grant of the disability element of pension shall be confined to commence from a period of three years prior to the institution of the present OA.

15. The respondents are directed to calculate, sanction and issue the necessary Corrigendum PPO to the applicant within three months from the date of receipt of the copy of this order and in the event of default, the applicant shall be entitled to the interest @6% per annum till the date of payment.”

5. Subsequently, the aforesaid judgment passed by the learned AFT was challenged before the learned Division Bench of this Court in W.P.(C) 5123/2024 and other connected petitions. *Vide* Judgment dated 01.07.2025 in the said petitions, affirming the aforesaid judgment passed by the learned AFT, the learned Division Bench had observed as under:-

“85. In view of the aforesaid, and considering the limited scope of the writ jurisdiction in reviewing the orders of the learned Tribunal, no case has been made out warranting interference by this Court with the decision of the learned Tribunal”

6. Issue notice.

7. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents accepts notice.



8. Let a compliance report be filed before the next date of hearing.
9. List on 11.03.2026.

AMIT SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 27, 2025/kr/sg