



\$~123

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1847/2024**
GOPALJEE FOODSPetitioner

Through: Advocate (appearance not given)

versus

SUBHASH CHANDRespondent
Through: None.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

% **ORDER**
21.11.2024

CM APPL.67873/2024 (exemption)

Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.

CONT.CAS(C) 1847/2024

1. Petitioner had filed two different suits i.e. one before this Court on its original side and one before the District Courts and, in both the matters, based on the averments made by the plaintiff/petitioner, a Local Commissioner was appointed for preserving and protecting the infringing evidence.
2. However, before the Local Commissioner appointed by this Court could take any action, the Local Commissioner appointed by the learned District Courts was able to visit the property in question and was also able to seize the infringing articles. These were, reportedly, left with the defendant on superdari.
3. However, during further proceedings of the matter, when the above said seized goods were inspected by another Local

CONT.CAS(C) 1847/2024

1



Commissioner, it came to fore that there was drastic reduction in the inventory and, therefore, the present petition has been moved seeking initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent for misappropriating the seized goods.

4. Issue notice to respondent through all permissible modes including dasti, returnable on 21st March, 2025. Notice be also issued through counsel.

MANOJ JAIN, J

NOVEMBER 21, 2024
st