



\$~73

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1844/2024**
FEKU PRASAD & ORS.

Through: Mr. Saurabh Seth with Ms. Neelampreet and Mr. Abhiroop Rathore, Advocates.Petitioner

versus

VICE CHAIRMAN, DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.

Through: Ms. Manika Tripathy, Advocate.Respondent

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
08.01.2025

%
CM APPL. 336/2025

1. When the contempt petition was taken up for consideration on 21.11.2024, based on the assurance given by the respondent counsel, the petition was disposed of as not pressed.
2. It was primarily on the basis of assurance given by the respondent that the representation shall be decided within a period of four weeks.
3. However, liberty was granted to petitioner to revive the proceedings, in case, there was no decision within the above said time-frame.
4. It is in the above said back drop that the present application has been moved contending that no decision has been taken within the above said time-frame of four weeks.

CONT.CAS(C) 1844/2024

1



5. Learned standing counsel for respondent-DDA appears on advance notice and submits that the concerned official was busy in election duty and, therefore, needful could not be done.
6. She submits that on the basis of the instructions which she has received from Deputy Director (LM), the representation shall be decided within a period of four weeks from today.
7. Learned counsel for petitioner is, though, apprehensive about such assurance but submits that at the moment he does not press his application and, in case, the decision is not taken within four weeks from today, he may be again permitted to revive the contempt petition.
8. In view of the above said fresh assurance given by the learned Standing Counsel for the DDA, the application is disposed as not pressed.
9. However, in case, the decision is not taken within the above said period, petitioner would be permitted to revive the main petition and, moreover, the concerned official would also be directed to appear in person and would be required to show cause as to why proceedings of aggregated contempt be not initiated against him.
10. Application stands disposed of accordingly.
11. A copy of this order be also sent by the Registry to the above said Deputy Director (LM) for his information and due compliance.

MANOJ JAIN, J

JANUARY 8, 2025/sw