



\$~120

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1844/2024 & CM APPL. 67846/2024**
FEKU PRASAD & ORS.

.....Petitioner
Through: Mr. Saurabh Seth with Ms.
Neelampreet and Mr. Abhiroop,
Advocates.

versus

**VICE CHAIRMAN, DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY &
ORS.**

.....Respondent
Through: Ms. Manika Tripathy, SC with Mr.
Rony John, Advocate for DDA.
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, Standing
Counsel with Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha,
Ms. Akhtar, Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER
21.11.2024

%

1. Petitioners had earlier filed a writ petition i.e. W.P.(C) No. 13343/2023 which was decided by learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 01.03.2024 by directing the respondent to treat the above said writ petition as a representation and to call the petitioners for a hearing and to pass appropriate speaking order after hearing them and after considering all the documents.
2. Admittedly, no specific timeline was provided when the above said writ petition was disposed of.
3. Since there was no expeditious disposal, the petitioner filed an

CONT.CAS(C) 1844/2024

1



application in the same writ petition seeking directions to respondent to comply with the above said order.

4. The Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 07.10.2024 passed in CM APPL. 59084/2024 in W.P.(C) No. 13343/2023 directed the respondent to take appropriate decision within a period of four weeks from the date of such order.

5. It is submitted that despite the expiry of the above said period of four weeks, there is no decision as yet.

6. Learned counsel for respondent Authority appears on advance notice and admits that representation is yet not decided but at the same time she assures, on instructions of Deputy Director (LM), that such representation shall be decided within a period of four weeks from today. Learned counsel for respondent submits that, in between, because of the change of the incumbent, the representation could not be disposed of within the stipulated time.

7. Such statement is taken on record.

8. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that in view of the above said assurance and statement given by the learned counsel for respondent Authority, he does not press the contempt petition. However, he submits that, in case, the decision is not there within the above said time frame, he may be permitted to revive the present petition.

9. The petition stands disposed of as not pressed. Liberty, as prayed, is granted.

MANOJ JAIN, J

NOVEMBER 21, 2024/sw

CONT.CAS(C) 1844/2024

2