



\$~7

\* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 117/2024**

**UNIVERSAL CITY STUDIOS LLC & ORS**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Mehr Sidhu and  
Mr. Pushpit Ghosh, Advocates

versus

**FZKIDD.NET & ORS.**

.....Defendant

Through: Mrs. Shiva Lakshmi and  
Mr. Madhav Bajaj, Advocates

Mr. Parth Rishik, Advocate for  
D-34

**CORAM:**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) Dr. AJAY GULATI**

**ORDER**

% **06.08.2025**

**I.A. No. 19033/2025 on behalf of the plaintiffs seeking impleadment of additional mirrors, redirects, or alphanumeric variations as defendant nos. 106-111.**

1. The plaintiff is seeking to implead additional rogue-websites as defendant nos. 106 to 111 because they have been found to be indulging in illegally disseminating the copyrighted material belonging to the plaintiff. Keeping in view the contents of the suit and the application, the same is allowed. The proposed defendants are impleaded as defendant nos. 106 to 111. Issue summons to the newly impleaded defendants.

2. The amended memo filed alongwith this IA is taken on record. Steps be taken to serve the newly impleaded defendants



with summons within a week through the electronic mode only, on filing of PF.

3. The order blocking the rogue-websites passed by the Hon'ble Court on **07.02.2024** shall operate qua the freshly impleaded defendants as well, in terms of directions contained in *para 13* of the order dated 07.02.2024. Accordingly, defendant ISP's shall give effect to the injunction by blocking defendant nos. 106 to 111. Further concerned defendants i.e. Department of Telecommunications and Ministry of Electronics and Information Technology shall issue blocking orders against defendant nos. 106 to 111 within a period of one week. The concerned DNR's of the newly impleaded defendants shall lock and suspend the domain name, and also supply necessary details of the domain name registrant / KYC etc to the plaintiffs. IA is allowed and disposed off accordingly.

**CS(COMM)-117/2024 & I.A. No. 2907/2024 (u/order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2 r/w Section 151 CPC).**

4. Rights of defendant nos. 1 to 65 to file a written statement have already been closed.

5. No compliance affidavit has been filed on behalf of defendant no. 37 although it has been recorded on its behalf that directions for blocking have already been complied with.

6. Defendant nos. 66 to 82 have been served on 10.02.2025, as per the affidavit of service. No written statement has been filed on their behalf. Since the maximum permissible period to do so has expired for all these defendants, right to file a written statement stands closed for defendant no. 66 to 82.

7. Defendant nos. 83 to 105 have been served through email on 16.04.2025, as per the submission of ld. counsel for the



plaintiff. However, the maximum extendable period for these defendants to file a written statement has yet not expired.

8. Put up for completion of pleadings on 15.12.2025.

**Dr. AJAY GULATI**  
**(DHJS),**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

**AUGUST 6, 2025/sk**