



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16030/2024**

KISHAN CHAND & ORS.

.....Petitioners

Through: None.

versus

DELHI DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY & ORS.Respondents

Through: Mr. Gaganmeet Singh Sachdeva with
Mr. Hridyesh Khannam Advocate for
DDA.

Ms. Avni Singh, Panel Counsel,
GNCTD with Mr. Vaibhav Sharma,
Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Kaushal Kumar, SPC for UOI
with Mr. Chirantan Priyadarshan,
Advocate for respondent No.4.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ JAIN

ORDER

%

21.11.2025

CM APPL. 65904/2025 (filed on behalf of respondent/DDA seeking clarification of order dated 21.02.2025)

1. When the present petition was taken up by this Court on 21.02.2025, the petitioners had contended that they continued to have the possession of the plots in question and, on certain conditions, there was a direction to maintain *status quo*. It was also clarified that the abovesaid order was being passed on the principle of parity and no special equity would run in favour of the petitioners. The petitioners had also ensured that they would not raise any further construction and would not create any third party interest with respect to the plots in question.

W.P.(C) 16030/2024

1



2. Learned counsel for respondent No.1/DDA submits that, on said date, there was no representation from the side of DDA and, therefore, it could not be brought to the notice of the Court that *e-auction* of said plots had already taken place way back on 27.09.2021.
3. It is in the abovesaid backdrop that DDA seeks clarification.
4. It is, however, informed that in view of abovesaid order, no further coercive action has been taken by DDA.
5. None appears on behalf of petitioners despite advance notice.
6. Issue notice to petitioners through all permissible modes, returnable on 19.12.2025.

MANOJ JAIN, J

NOVEMBER 21, 2025

st/js