



\$~42

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ TEST.CAS. 87/2024, I.A. 12748/2025

MADANJIT SINGH OBEROI

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Kanika Agnihotri & Mr. Amer Vaid,
Adv.

versus

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Avni Singh, Panel Counsel for GNCTD
with Mr. Gourav Mundra, Adv.
Ms. Shaini Bhardwaj and Mr. Aditya Sharma,
Advocates for R-2.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS)

ORDER

%

19.05.2025

Matter is taken up through physical hearing as well as video conferencing.

I.A. 12748/2025 (Application u/S. 5 of Limitation Act r/w Sec. 151 CPC filed by Respondent no.2)

Notice accepted. Copy supplied.

Arguments heard. Ld. Counsel for Respondent no.2 has stated that delay of 59 days in filing Reply to I.A. 45305/2024 (Order XI Rule 14 CPC) has been caused which is neither intentional nor deliberate.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances mentioned in the application, the application stands allowed and delay in filing Reply is condoned. Reply to I.A. 45305/2024 is taken on record. **The present I.A. stands disposed off.**

TEST.CAS. 87/2024

Page 1 of 2



I.A. 45305/2024 (Application under Rule 14 CPC filed by petitioner)

Reply has been taken on record today. Rejoinder if any be filed by the petitioner within four weeks with advance copy to the opposite party.

Be fixed for further hearing on already fixed date i.e. 22.07.2025.

**TYAGITA SINGH, (DHJS),
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

MAY 19, 2025/SM

TEST.CAS. 87/2024

Page 2 of 2