



\$~2 & 46

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010810262025

+ **W.P.(C) 16184/2025**

ANURUDDH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargava, Mr.
Ajinkya Dhalwade and Mr. Nikhel
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Liyi Marli Noshi, SPC with Ms.
Anailu Hakung and Mr. Dani Uja,
Advocates.

46

CNR No. DLHC010858672025

+ **W.P.(C) 16738/2025**

ANURUDDH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Abhay Kumar Bhargava, Mr.
Ajinkya Dhalwade and Mr. Nikhel
Kumar, Advocates.

versus

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

.....Respondents

Through: Ms. Liyi Marli Noshi, SPC with Ms.
Anailu Hakung and Mr. Dani Uja,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

17.08.2026

%

1. In the communication issued by the Deputy Commandant on behalf of



Commandant 165 BN BSF addressed to the learned counsel appearing for the respondents dated 28th July, 2026, it is placed on record that “on 22.06.2024, No.133202348 Ex-CT(GD) Anuruddh appeared before an invalidation medical board at SHQ BSF I/Nagar and was awarded low medical category S1H1A5P1E1 (Permanent) with 34% disability as a case of 'effects of compound, comminuted fracture of both bones right upper limb and fracture of both bones right lower limb' and declared 'permanently unfit for further service in BSF. Further, his case was reviewed by the Review Medical Invalidation Board at Ftr HQ BSF Jammu on 17.09.2024 under the provisions of Rule 25 of BSF Rules, 1969. However, the findings and recommendations of the Review Medical Invalidation Board remained unchanged. Eventually, the individual was retired/medically boarded out under Rule 25 of BSF Rule, 1969 w.e.f. 14.10.2024.”

2. Learned counsel for the respondents states that once the Ministry approves the aforesaid allocation in favour of the petitioner, the amount shall be released.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the amount must carry interest for a delayed period, as there was no fault of the petitioner, whereas learned counsel for the respondents states that it is only after the inquiry was completed, it was recommended that the amount be released in favour of the petitioner.
4. Once the respondents were of the opinion that the petitioner is entitled for the *ex-gratia* compensation for 34% disability and also disability pension, in our opinion, the delay cannot be attributed to the petitioner and rather it is for the respondents, who should have processed the same expeditiously.
5. In that eventuality, we deem it appropriate to direct that the said amount



be released in favour of the petitioner, which shall carry interest @ 6% per annum with effect from November, 2024.

6. Let the case of the petitioner which is pending before the Ministry of Home Affairs be processed within a period of 12 weeks from today.
7. The present petitions are disposed of.
8. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

NITIN WASUDEO SAMBRE, J

AMIT SHARMA, J

AUGUST 17, 2026/sn/db