



\$~55

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1565/2025**

EX. HAV. OM PRAKASH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Devendra Kumar, Adv.

versus

RAJESH KUMAR SINGH AND ANR

.....Respondents

Through: Mr. Ankit Raj, SPC for UOI with Mr.
Ali Mohammed Khan, Mr. Digvijay
Singh & Mr. Vikram Kumar, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

17.10.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.
2. The present petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks the following prayers:-

“It is therefore, humbly prayed that this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to summon the contemnors and necessary action may be initiated against them as per the law for committing contempt of this Court by non-compliance of final order dated 17.07.2025 passed by this Hon'ble Court in W.P(C) No. 2781 of 2025.

And/or

this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to pass any other order/direction which may be deemed fit and proper under the and circumstances of the case in the interest of justice..”

3. *Vide* order dated 31.05.2024 passed in OA 1846/2023, learned AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi, had passed the following directions: -



“3. Keeping in view the consistent stand taken by this Tribunal based on the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Dharamvir Singh v. Union of India and others** (2013) 7 SCC 316 that Primary Hypertension may arise even in a peace area due to stress and strain of service, we see no reason not to allow the prayer of the applicant with regard to the disability Primary Hypertension, which has been assessed by the competent Medical Board @ 30%.

4. Accordingly, we allow this application and direct the respondents to grant disability element of pension to the applicant for Primary Hypertension @ 30% for life which be rounded off to 50% for life from the date of retirement i.e., 31.05.2022 in terms of the judicial pronouncement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Union of India Vs. Ram Avtar (Civil Appeal No. 418/2012)** decided on 10.12.2014.

5. Accordingly, the respondents are directed to calculate, sanction and issue necessary PPO to the applicant within four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, failing which, the applicant shall be entitled to interest @ 6% per annum till the date of payment.

4. Subsequently, the aforesaid order passed by the learned AFT was challenged before the learned Division Bench of this Court in W.P.(C) 2781/2025 and other connected petitions. *Vide* judgment dated 17.07.2025 in said petitions, affirming the aforesaid order passed by learned AFT, learned Division Bench had observed as under:-

“10. The reasons for our disinclination to interfere with the decision of the Tribunal are forthcoming in the individual judgements passed by us, and we do not deem it necessary to burden this order by a reiteration thereof. Suffice it to state that learned Counsel for the UOI, in these petitions, too, was unable to urge that these cases are not covered by our earlier decisions.

11. Following the aforesaid, all these writ petitions are dismissed. The petitioners are directed to implement the orders passed by the Tribunal within a period of eight weeks from today.”

5. Issue notice.
6. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents accepts notice.



7. Let a compliance report be filed before the next date of hearing.
8. In case of non-compliance, the concerned Officer shall remain present in Court on the next date of hearing.
9. List on 11.03.2026.

AMIT SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 17, 2025/nk