



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1560/2025**

EX. SUB JANMED SINGH

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Durgesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.
(through VC).

versus

DR NITEN CHANDRA IAS AND ORS

.....Respondents

Through: Appearance not given.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT SHARMA

ORDER

17.10.2025

%

1. This hearing has been done through hybrid mode.

CM APPL. 65861/2025 (Exemption)

2. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions. The application stands disposed of.

CONT.CAS(C) 1560/2025

3. The present petition under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 seeks the following prayers:-

“A. To summon and punish the contemnors for having committed the contempt of this Hon'ble Court by willfully defying and disobeying order/ judgment dt. 01 July 2025 passed in W.P.(C) No. 10080/2024 tiled as "UOI & Ors Vs EX. SUB JANMED SINGH" in the interest of justice.

B. To direct the contemnors to obey the order/judgment dt. 01 July 2025 passed in W.P.(C) No. 10080/2024 tiled as "UOI &



Ors Vs EX. SUB JANMED SINGH" in the interest of justice.

C. To award any other/further relief as this Hon'ble court may deem fit and proper in the fact and circumstances of the case in favor of the petitioner and against the contemnors along with cost, in the interest of justice."

4. *Vide* order dated 12.07.2023 passed in OA 843/2019 with MA 1484/2019, learned AFT, Principal Bench, New Delhi, had passed the following directions: -

"14. In view of the aforesaid judicial pronouncements and the parameters referred to above, the applicant is entitled for the disability element of pension in respect of both the disabilities viz **"Sensory Neural Loss-B/L 9H 90"** and **"Polycythemia (D-45)"**. Accordingly, we allow this application holding that the applicant is entitled to disability element of pension **"Sensory Neural Hearing Loss-B/L 9H 90"** @ 20% and **"Polycythemia (D 45)"** @ 20%, the composite of which is 40% rounded off to 50 % with effect from the date of his discharge in terms of the judicial pronouncement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **Union of India Vs. Ram Avtar** (Civil Appeal No. 418/2012), decided on 10.12.2014.

15. The respondents are thus directed to calculate, sanction and issue the necessary PPO to the applicant within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order and the amount of arrears shall be paid by the respondents, failing which the applicant will be entitled for interest @6% p.a. from the date of receipt of copy of the order by the respondents."

5. Subsequently, the aforesaid order passed by the learned AFT was challenged before the learned Division Bench of this Court in W.P.(C) 10080/2024 and other connected petitions. *Vide* judgment dated 01.07.2025 in said petitions, affirming the aforesaid order passed by learned AFT, learned Division Bench had observed as under:-



“85. In view of the aforesaid, and considering the limited scope of the writ jurisdiction in reviewing the orders of the learned Tribunal, no case has been made out warranting interference by this Court with the decision of the learned Tribunal.”

6. Issue notice.
7. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents accepts notice.
8. Let a compliance report be filed before the next date of hearing.
9. In case of non-compliance, the concerned officer shall remain present in Court on the next date of hearing.
10. List on 11.03.2026.

AMIT SHARMA, J

OCTOBER 17, 2025/nk