



\$~49

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16043/2025**

SHEELA DEVI

.....Petitioner

Through: **Mr. Anoop Kumar, Advocate.**

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: **Mr. Kul Anand, Advocate for MCD.**

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

07.05.2026

%

1. On the last date of hearing, the submission of the petitioner was noted that the application filed by the petitioner for approval of the sanction plan had not been accepted on the website of the respondent/ MCD, as the property in question had been booked and hence, the petitioner was not able to pay the requisite fees.
2. Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent/ MCD, on instructions, submits that there should no impediment in accepting the application of the petitioner or the fees.
3. Accordingly, the following directions are issued in this regard:
 - i. The petitioner shall once again file an application seeking sanction of the building plans and pay the requisite fees.
 - ii. In case there is any difficulty in doing so, the concerned AE/JE of MCD shall assist the petitioner.
 - iii. The fresh application to be filed by the petitioner shall be in



accordance with the procedure prescribed on the website of the MCD, including the fact that the sanction plan has to be submitted through a certified architect.

- iv. Upon the petitioner filing an appropriate application for a sanction plan, the same shall be decided by the respondent/MCD in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.
4. Status report be filed within six (6) weeks.
5. List on 16th September, 2026.

AMIT BANSAL, J

MAY 7, 2026

at