



\$~32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 16043/2025**

SHEELA DEVI

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Anoop Kumar, Advocate
(through VC)

versus

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF DELHIRespondent

Through: Mr. Kul Anand, Advocate.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

23.01.2026

%

1. The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking directions to the respondent to delete the property of the petitioner from the list of properties which have been booked for unauthorised construction.
2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the owner of the *Plot No.- 109, Kh. No- 4/4, Village- Bagdola, New Delhi-110077*.
3. He draws the attention of this Court to the various photographs attached with the present writ petition, to submit that the original construction has already been demolished by the petitioner and that only boundary walls and a few pillars are existing.
4. He further submits that, in case the Building Plans are sanctioned by the Municipal Corporation of Delhi (MCD), the petitioner would be in a position to use those iron rods which are encased in the said brick pillar, for the purposes of construction.



5. Notice was issued in the petition on 16th October, 2025.
6. Counsel for the respondent/MCD submits that the status report has already been filed.
 - 6.1. However, the same is not on record.
 - 6.2. Delay, if any, in filing the status report is condoned.
 - 6.3. Counsel for the respondent/MCD shall take steps to have the same placed on record.
7. A copy of the aforesaid status report has been handed over in Court.
8. As per the status report, the aforesaid property has been booked on 1st April, 2024 and the order of demolition was passed on 11th July, 2024. However, the petitioner did not completely demolish the property.
9. It is further stated in the status report that the property in question was recently inspected by the field staff of the respondent/MCD on 20th January, 2026 and during the inspection, the brick wall on three sides of the plot in question and RCC columns of approximately 10 feet in height were found to still exist. Photographs have been filed along with the status report.
10. A perusal of the photographs filed along with the status report would show that there is a boundary wall on the site along with few pillars.
11. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has approached the respondent/MCD for the sanction plans and the aforesaid walls and pillars are in terms of the aforesaid plan, in respect of which approval is sought. He undertakes that no construction activity shall be carried out in the plot till the time the plans are sanctioned by the respondent/MCD.
12. In the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the view that the respondent/MCD, without prejudice to its rights and contentions as stated in the status report, shall take a decision on the



application for sanction of plans submitted by the petitioner within four (4) weeks from today.

13. List on 30th April, 2026.

AMIT BANSAL, J

JANUARY 23, 2026
ds