



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ MAC.APP. 292/2018, CM APPL. 10511/2018, CM APPL.
56214/2022 & CM APPL. 45933/2025

SANTOSH KUMAR GUPTAAppellant

Through: Ms. Aruna Mehta, Adv.

versus

AJAY JAIN & ANR (UNITED INDIA INSURANCE CO LTD)

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Mouli Sharma, Advocate for Ms.
Suman Bagga, Advocate for
Respondent no.2.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
02.02.2026

%

1. A request for adjournment is made on behalf of counsel for respondent no. 2/Insurance Company due to unavailability of *Ms. Sumna Bagga*, Advocate.
2. *Ms. Aruna Mehta* appears on behalf of claimant who have now been represented by legal heirs of the deceased.
3. Respondent no.1(*driver and owner*) and respondent no. 2 (*insurance company*) was sought to be served; however, *Ms. Mehta*, states that the vehicle was insured by respondent no.2 and there will be no issue relating to respondent no.1/driver and owner. Service is dispensed with.



4. Counsels for parties will file their respective note of arguments not exceeding *three pages*, synopsisizing their contentions along with *list of citations*, which they wish to rely upon, cross-referencing *PDF pages* of the Court File. The same will be exchanged *inter se* counsels *at least three days* prior to the next date of hearing.
5. List on 19th May 2026.
6. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

FEBRUARY 2, 2026/RK