



\$~O-1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010795042025

I.A. 20351/2026

IN

+ **CS(OS) 741/2025**

MR. SYED AZIZ UR RAHMAN

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Rashmi Chopra, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Muhammad Ali Khan, Mr.
Omar Hoda, Ms. Eesha Bakshi, Ms.
Ayesha Khan, Mr. Kamran Khan &
Mr. Shaurya Vikram, Advocates.

versus

MRS JASMINE SAINI AND ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Gauhar Mirza and Mr.
Syamantak Sen, Advocates for D-1 to
D-3.

Ms. Rashmi Chopra, Sr. Advocate
with Mr. Muhammad Ali Khan, Mr.
Omar Hoda, Ms. Eesha Bakshi, Ms.
Ayesha Khan, Mr. Kamran Khan &
Mr. Shaurya Vikram, Advocates For
D-5.

Mohd. Irshad Hanif & Mr. Suhaib
Samad, Advocates for D-8 & 9.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER

%

31.07.2026

I.A. 20351/2026 (seeking clarification/modification of order dated 09.12.2025)

1. This application has been filed seeking modification/clarification of



paragraph 6 of the order passed by this Court on 9th December, 2025. For the ease of reference, paragraph 6 of the said order is set out below:

*“6. However, the defendants no. 1 to 3 are directed to provide details of the holders of the aforesaid bank accounts and further directed to file with this Court up-to-date monthly bank statements in respect of **all the aforesaid bank accounts** from 16th October, 2025 till date and continue filing monthly bank statements for the subsequent period till further orders.”*

2. A perusal of the aforesaid paragraph would show that the direction was passed with respect to “*all the aforesaid bank accounts*”. The details of the bank accounts were provided in paragraph 3 of the aforesaid order, which was passed in the context of CCP(O) 125/2025.
3. The plaintiff contends that there are twenty-two (22) bank accounts belonging to the defendants no.1 to 3 and therefore, the directions contained in paragraph 6 should cover all the said twenty-two (22) bank accounts.
4. The order passed by this Court on 9th December, 2025 was only in the context of the aforesaid seven (7) bank accounts, the details of which were provided by the defendants in CCP(O) 125/2025. Therefore, no clarification of the said order is required.
5. It appears that the plaintiff has subsequently discovered other bank accounts. If that be the case, the plaintiff would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for similar direction in respect of other bank accounts.
6. In view of the above, the application stands disposed of.

AMIT BANSAL, J

JULY 31, 2026
at