



\$~SB-1

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **W.P.(CRL) 3376/2025**
SATYA PRAKASH SHOKEEN AND ORSPetitioners

Through: Mr. Romy Chacko, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Satpal Singh, Advocate with
petitioners in-person.

versus

STATE GOVT OF NCT OF DELHI AND ORSRespondents

Through: Mr. Kshitiz Garg, Advocate for Mr.
Amol Sinha, ASC for the State.
SI Chetan Panwar, P.S.: Chhawla.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

% **28.07.2026**

CRL.M.A. 16666/2026

By way of the present application filed under section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, the State has sought modification of para 7 of order dated 21.01.2026, praying that instead of directing that Personal Security Officers (PSOs) be deputed for petitioner Nos.1 and 3, the direction should apply to petitioner Nos.1 and 2.

2. However, upon query Mr. Kshitiz Garg, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. Amol Sinha, ASC for the State has handed-up a copy of Status Report dated 26.07.2026 which narrates that upon completion of the threat assessment, the special cell found the threat perception *qua* all 03 petitioners to be genuine; and consequentially PSOs have been assigned to all 03 petitioners as an interim security measure.



3. Mr. Garg submits, that as narrated in the status report the security arrangement would of course be subject to periodic review.
4. The status report is taken on record.
5. Mr. Romy Chacko, learned senior counsel appearing for the petitioners also confirms that PSOs are presently deputed with all 03 petitioners during day-time.
6. In the circumstances, the prayer in the present application is rendered infructuous.
7. The application is disposed-of as such.

W.P.(CRL) 3376/2025

8. Re-notify before the Roster Bench on 30th July 2026.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

JULY 28, 2026/hb