



\$~50

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **TEST.CAS. 92/2025**

JYOTI SUBBA

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vivek Chib, Sr. Adv. with Mr. K. Varghese, Mr. Sidharth, Ms. Isha Ghai, Advs.

versus

STATE (NCT OF DELHI) AND ORS.

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Syed Nazarat Fatima, Adv. for R-2.
Ms. Sradhaxna Mudrika, Adv. for R-3.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT BANSAL

ORDER
13.11.2025

%

1. The matter has been listed as per the office note.
2. It is stated that only one of the properties at sl.no.2, as given in Schedule-A of the petition, is located within the jurisdiction of this Court.
 - 2.1. Accordingly, the respondent no.1 is directed to shall file a valuation report in respect of the property at sl.no.2.
3. Let the valuation reports of the remaining subject properties at sl.nos.1, 3 and 5, be obtained from the concerned Sub-Divisional Magistrate (SDM).
4. The address in respect of the property at sl.no.4 is incomplete.
 - 4.1. The petitioner shall furnish the complete address in respect of the property at sl.no.4.

TEST.CAS. 92/2025

Page 1 of 2



5. List on the date already fixed.

NOVEMBER 13, 2025
neha

AMIT BANSAL, J