



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ ARB.P. 1684/2025, I.A. 25525/2025 & I.A. 28034/2025

SHRI K V SINGH PROPRIETOR OF OMKAR PLASTER
DECORATION WORKPetitioner

Through: Mr. Parthiv J. Mehta, Advocate
(through VC)

versus

THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PANCHAYATI RAJRespondent

Through: None.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

%

09.01.2026

1. At the outset, this Court notes that Clause 25 of the Contract/E-Tender between the parties contains an Arbitration Clause, wherein, it is stated that the venue of arbitration shall be such place or places in *Punjab* or *Chandigarh*, as may be fixed by the Arbitrator in his sole discretion.
2. This Court notes the submission of the petitioner that the E-Tender/Contract was issued in *Punjab*.
3. Accordingly, this Court is *prima facie* of the view that this Court would have no jurisdiction in the present case.
4. However, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that this Court would have jurisdiction.
5. Accordingly, let a note of submission be filed by the petitioner in this regard.
6. Let the needful be done within a period of two weeks, from today.



7. Re-notify on 23rd February, 2026.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

JANUARY 9, 2026

ak