



\$~O-32

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 723/2025, I.A. 25334/2025 & I.A. 25335/2025**

MR. VINEET KUMAR SAIGAL

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Prosenjeet Banerjee and Mr.
Sanjeev Saraswat, Advs.

versus

MR. VIJAY KUMAR REWAL & ORS.

.....Defendants

Through: Ms. Malvika Trivedi, Sr. Adv. with
Ms. Mrinalini Sen, Ms. Gauri Shyam
and Ms. Sujal, Advs. for D-1 and 2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV

ORDER

% **10.11.2025**

1. Let Mr. Prosenjeet Banerjee, learned counsel appearing for the plaintiff to point out to the Registry that the court fee has been paid.
2. Let the said aspect be looked into by the Registry.
3. Mr. Banerjee also places reliance on paragraphs No. 6 to 15 of the decision in the case of ***Gunwantbhai Mulchand Shah v. Anton Elis Farel***¹ and submits that the said paragraphs, if considered in the right perspective, would indicate that the suit is maintainable.
4. List on 10.12.2025.

PURUSHAINDR KUMAR KAURAV, J
NOVEMBER 10, 2025/P/SPH

¹ (2006) 3 SCC 634