



\$~13

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010774362025

+ **CS(COMM) 1084/2025**

GS1 INDIA

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Manu Bajaj and Ms.
Raksha Gupta, Advs.

versus

TOPHILL PHARMA LIMITED & ORS.

.....Defendant

Through: None.

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. SWATI KATIYAR

ORDER

% **18.08.2026**

1. Matter is listed for completion of pleadings.
2. As per office noting, summons issued to defendant no.1 were served through ordinary mode on 27.11.2025 and to Defendant no.3 through ordinary mode on 28.11.2025. As per affidavit of service filed by plaintiff, defendant no.2 has been served through email on 28.07.2026 but the process served through speed post and courier has been returned back unserved.
3. No written statement has been filed by defendant no. 1 and 3 within the maximum permissible period of 120 days, hence, the right of defendant no. 1 and 3 to file written statement stands closed.
4. Let written statement, if any by defendant no.2 be filed within the period permissible as per rules. Replication, if any be also filed as per rules.
5. Put up for completion of pleadings on 30.11.2026.

**SWATI KATIYAR,
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

AUGUST 18, 2026/BK