



\$~24

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.REV.P. 227/2018

AMIT KUMAR SHARMA

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Satish Tamta, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. S.N. Tripathi and Ms. Nusrat
Geelani, Advs.

Versus

MEENAKSHI SHARMA

..... Respondent

Through: Ms. Malvika Choudhary and Mr. B.
Venkatraman, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ KUMAR OHRI

ORDER

% **27.11.2019**

1. Learned counsel for the respondent seeks an adjournment on the ground that he is led by a senior advocate who is not available today.
2. It is noted that in the order dated 04.02.2019, the petitioner sought time to comply with the previous order dated 15.03.2018. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has not complied with the said order and fairly submits that in view of the same, the stay of the execution proceedings may be vacated.
3. Vide order dated 04.02.2019, it was directed that in case the order dated 15.03.2018 is not complied with within a period of four weeks, the same shall be deemed to be vacated without recourse to this Court. Accordingly, it is clarified that there is no stay in the execution proceedings.
4. List on 5th March, 2020.

MANOJ KUMAR OHRI, J

NOVEMBER 27, 2019/ga