



\$~14

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.REV.P. 227/2018 CRL.M.A. 4854/2018 CRL.M.A. 32364/2018
AMIT KUMAR SHARMAPetitioner

Through: Mr. Satish Tamta, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Shariq Iqbal, Ms. Manavi Joshi
and Ms. Rashi Jain, Advocates.

versus

MEENAKSHI SHARMARespondent
Through: Mr. Prashant Mendiratta, Ms. Neha
Jain, Ms. Somya Shree and Ms.
Tanya Bhutani, Advs.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
25.11.2024

%

1. Pursuant to the previous order dated 01st October, 2024, counsel for the petitioner appears 01st October, 2024, counsel for the petitioner appears and states that he has filed an affidavit in compliance in the said order, however, it is not on record. The same be placed on record.
2. It is also noted that there is a non-compliance of the directions to deposit an amount of Rs.20 Lacs within 6 weeks. Only Rs.5 Lacs has been deposited before the Court.
3. Respondent's affidavit is also not on record as per directions passed in para 6(iii) of the previous order.
4. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner states that Rs.5 Lacs is not deposited yet and he seeks two days' time to deposit the same.
5. Needless to state that the directions of this Court must be fully



complied with and this Court has already directed that amounts as and when deposited shall be released to the respondent by the Registry on their request.

6. List on 23rd January, 2025.
7. Needless to state that the execution proceedings will continue as stated in the previous order.
8. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

NOVEMBER 25, 2024/MK