



\$~15

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CONT.CAS(C) 1757/2024**

SARV SHAKTI MANDIRPetitioner
Through: **Mr. Aarati Sah, Adv.**

versus

CHAUDHARY FIREY SINGHRespondent
Through:

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANISH DAYAL

ORDER
19.03.2025

%

1. Pursuant to previous order, copy of civil suit has been filed. A perusal of the plaint reveals that the issue pertains to *Sarv Shakti Mandir*.
2. In para 5 of the plaint, a stray statement was made that “*later on, Bhairo Mandir was also constructed adjacent to the said temple.*” There is nothing in the plaint to suggest that the suit relates to *Bhairo Temple* as well.
3. Proxy counsel for petitioner argues otherwise and draws attention to the site plan. Even as per the site plan *Bhairo Temple* is separated by an intervening road from *Sarv Shakti Mandir*.
4. The Order of 5th February 2024 relates to *Sarv Shakti Mandir* in context of which disobedience and violation is alleged by petitioner.
5. To enable petitioner’s counsel to take further appropriate instructions, relist on 30th July 2025.
6. Order be uploaded on the website of this Court.

ANISH DAYAL, J

MARCH 19, 2025/sm/kp