

\$~7

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ CRL.M.C. 1736/2011
VINOD SURHA & ORS

..... Petitioner

Through: Mr. Vishal Gosain, Ms. Rudrani
Tyagi & Mr. Nikhil Ahuja, Advs.

versus

THE STATE & ANR

..... Respondent

Through: Mr. Raghuvinder Varma, APP for the
State
Mr. Ajay Marwah, Adv. for R-2 & R-3.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHUTOSH KUMAR

% **ORDER**
08.12.2015

Mr. Vishal Gosain, learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner seeks time to address this Court on the main petition seeking quashing of the summoning order.

The aforesaid prayer has been seriously opposed by the counsel appearing for respondent No.2.

List this matter on 11.01.2016.

Let it be made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted and the matter would be heard.

Crl. M.A.8529/2011

The applicant has preferred an application for initiating action under Section 340 Cr.P.C. as against the opposite parties No.1 and 2 namely Vinod

Surha and Mr. Wadia Parkash.

The applicant lists certain wrong statements made by the opposite parties in a proceeding which is pending before this Court.

It is submitted that the statement made in the petition regarding 51% of the shares of the company having been transferred to Mr. Vikram Bakshi after 31.01.2006 is an incorrect statement. Similarly, the other statements in schedule 1 of this application are stated to be a false statements.

Issue notice to the opposite parties, on the petitioner taking steps for issuance of notice by all permissible modes within a period of a week from today, returnable on 05.01.2016.

Crl. M.A.12196/2015

Issue notice. Notice is accepted by Mr. Raghuvinder Varma, APP with detailed facts shall be stated on or before the next date fixed.

Renotify on 05.01.2016.

Crl.M.As. 6277/2011, 7103/2011, 8087-88/2011, 8525-27/2011, 8530/2011, 8987-88/2011, 9905/2011, 12197-201/2011, 11910/2015

Renotify on 11.01.2016.

ASHUTOSH KUMAR, J

DECEMBER 08, 2015

ns