



\$~6

*

+

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
CS(OS) 110/2017
SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mittal, Standing
Counsel for MCD

versus

DELHI GURGAON SUPER CONNECTIVITY LIMITED
&ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Gautam Bajaj, Adv. for D-1
Mr. Arbaaz Hussain & Mr.
Prateek Dhankar, Advs. for D-2
Mr. Arbaaz Hussain & Mr.
Prateek Dhankar, Advs. for D-3

CORAM:

JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA
MAHENDRA, (DHJS)

ORDER

% **15.04.2025**

CS(OS) 110/2017

1. Vide order dated 20.03.2018, learned counsels for plaintiff has admitted seven documents of defendant No.1 and five documents of defendant No.3 as Ex D-1 to Ex D-12 respectively, and learned counsel for defendant No.1 has also admitted two documents of defendant No.3 which are already marked as ExD-11 & Ex D-12.

2. The said order further shows that there are nine documents which are commonly admitted by counsel for defendant No.1 & defendant no.3 which have been marked as Ex.P-1 to Ex.P-9 respectively. Further, learned counsel for defendant No.1 has also admitted twenty documents of plaintiff and learned counsel for defendant No.3 has admitted thirteen documents of plaintiff. Remaining eleven (20-9) documents of the plaintiff admitted by counsel for

defendant no.1 are marked as Ex.P-10/D1 to Ex.P-20/D1.

Remaining four documents of plaintiff admitted by

This is a digitally signed order.

The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.

The Order is downloaded from the DHJ Server on 30/04/2025 at 16:01:04



defendant no.3 are marked as Ex P-21/D3 to Ex P-24/D3.

Further, vide order dated 24.10.2024, Admission/Denial of the documents in respect of the additional documents filed by the defendant no.1 had been done and the plaintiff has admitted two documents of defendant no.1 as Ex.D-13 & Ex.D-14.

As per office note, hard copy of the documents filed by defendant no.2 are now on record.

Today, learned counsel for the plaintiff has admitted four documents of defendant no.2 as **Ex.D-15 to Ex.D-18**.

At this stage, it is found that the plaintiff has filed and relied on the judicial orders. The same have been denied by learned respective counsels for defendant no.2&3, who now submit that they have denied the said documents as Certified copy of the same has not been filed by the plaintiff. So, the plaintiff is directed to file Certified copy of said documents within eight weeks with copy to the opposite side, so that precious judicial time is saved which will be used for proving the said documents by summoning judicial records.

At request, the matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Court on 10.07.2025, for framing of issues.

PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

APRIL 15, 2025/ab

Click here to check corrigendum, if any