



\$~6

\*

+

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**  
**CS(OS) 110/2017**  
**SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION**

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Rakesh Mittal, Standing  
Counsel for MCD with Mr. Ajay  
Harshane, Adv.

versus

**DELHI GURGAON SUPER CONNECTIVITY LIMITED**  
**&ORS.**

.....Defendants

Through: Mr. Gautam Bajaj, Adv. for D-1  
Mr. Arbaaz Hussain & Mr.  
Prateek Dhankhar, Advs. for  
D-2&3

**CORAM:**

**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) MS. PRIYA**  
**MAHENDRA, (DHJS)**

**ORDER**

% **29.01.2025**

**IA No.2384/2025 under Order VI Rule 17 CPC moved**  
**by plaintiff for amendment in Memo of Parties**

1. Learned respective counsels for defendant no.1 and defendant no.2&3 accept notice of the captioned IA and submit that they have no objection to the same.

2. Heard. In view of no objection given by defendants and also for the reasons stated in the application & the supporting document filed by the plaintiff alongwith the captioned IA, the captioned IA stands allowed as prayed for. Amended Memo of Parties filed by the plaintiff is taken on record. Accordingly, the captioned IA stands disposed of.

**CS(OS) 110/2017**

3. Amended Memo of Parties filed by the plaintiff is taken on record vide order of even date.

4. As per office note, hard copy of the documents filed by defendant no.2 vide diary no.961158 are lying under objections. It is stated by learned counsel for defendant



no.2 that the order dated 13.01.2023 clearly records that the defendant no.2 has filed Written Statement, documents and Affidavit of Admission/Denial of the documents. Thereafter, the plaintiff has also filed Affidavit of Admission/Denial qua documents filed by the defendant no.2. However, the said documents are not traceable and even e-copy of said documents are also not on record. In view of submissions made, learned counsel for the defendant no.2 is directed to co-ordinate with the registry in this regard and take necessary steps as per law for bringing on record the e-copy as well as physical copy of said documents filed by defendant no.2 before the next date of hearing.

5. Re-notify the matter for Admission/Denial of the documents qua documents of the defendant no.2 by the plaintiff, on 15.04.2025.

**PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)  
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

At 2.40 p.m.

6. At this stage, the matter has taken up again at the request of learned counsels for plaintiff and defendant no.2&3. It is stated by learned counsel for the defendant as no.2 that on contacting the registry, he found that as per the record of registry the defendant no.2 has not filed the documents in the present matter. However, it is admitted by learned counsel for the plaintiff that he has received copy of the said documents filed by defendant no.2 and he has filed Affidavit of Admission/Denial qua the documents of defendant no.2. At this stage, it is stated by learned counsel for the plaintiff that he has no objection if the



documents of defendant no.2 for which Affidavit of Admission/Denial of documents of the plaintiff is on record, are allowed to be filed at this stage by defendant no.2. Accordingly, the defendant no.2 is directed to file the said documents (soft copy as well as physical copy) within four weeks.

7. Re-notify the matter for date fixed for purpose fixed i.e. 15.04.2025.

**PRIYA MAHENDRA (DHJS)**  
**JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**  
**JANUARY 29, 2025/ab**  
*[Click here to check corrigendum, if any](#)*