



\$~8

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 110/2017**

SOUTH DELHI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Ajay Harshana, proxy counsel for
Mr. Rakesh Mittal, Adv

versus

DELHI GURGAON SUPER CONNECTIVITY LIMITED &ORS.

..... Defendants

Through: Mr. Ashish Dholakia, Adv with Mr.
Gautam Bajaj & Mr. Rohan Chawla,
Adv for D1.

Mr. Neeraj Malhotra, Sr Adv with Mr.
Ajit Warriar, Mr. Aditya Nayyar, Mr.
Sharad Kharra, Adv for D-2 & 3.

CORAM:

**SH. RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS), JOINT REGISTRAR
(JUDICIAL)**

ORDER

% **29.07.2019**

IA No. 7663/2017 (filed by defendant No.2)

Adjournment sought on behalf of plaintiff on the ground of non availability of main counsel for plaintiff. It is submitted that main counsel for plaintiff is busy in Karkardooma Court in CC No. 60474/16 titled as *M/s Marin Automation vs S. Hariharan* and CC No. 60475/2016 titled as *Anup Mittal vs Hariharan* where evidence is to be recorded. It is further submitted that after lunch, he is busy in



Supreme Court in *SLP (Crl) No. 5978-5979/2017* titled as *Sanjay Chandra Anr vs State NCT of Delhi Ors.*

Accordingly, as prayed, list for arguments on 12.09.2019. Last and final opportunity is granted to plaintiff for advancing argument on the IA and in case, arguments are not advanced by plaintiff on the next date of hearing, this Court shall proceed to decide the application after hearing counsel for applicant and on the basis of material available on record. Plaintiff is further directed to ensure the presence of its counsel at first call on the next date of hearing.

**RAJ KUMAR TRIPATHI (DHJS)
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**

JULY 29, 2019

sk