



\$~62

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **W.P.(C) 15260/2025 & CM APPL.62539/2025**

SH. ARYAN YADAV

.....Petitioner

Through: Ms. Akanksha Agarwal and Mr. Rohit
Kumar Gupta, Advocates.

versus

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI

.....Respondent

Through: Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, SC with
Mr. Sunil Kumar Jha, Mr. M.S.
Akhtar and Mr. Divakar Kapil,
Advocates.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI

ORDER

%

06.10.2025

By way of the present writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner impugns order dated 11.04.2013, which records the Minutes of Meeting ('MoM') dated 03.04.2013. According to the petitioner, *vide* MoM dated 03.04.2013, an application made by petitioner's late father seeking allotment of land in lieu of land acquired *vide* award dated 10.11.1981 in Village Badli, Delhi, for which compensation was also paid on 25.08.1982, has been dismissed.

It is the petitioner's contention that his late father had made an application dated 24.07.1985, independently of an application made on the same date by his uncle (father's brother) Bal Kishan for allotment of alternate land; however, the respondent-Department of Land and Building, Government of NCT of Delhi erroneously allotted the same file number to both applications; and both applications have been rejected.

Learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner further submits, that by reason of the common file number, the petitioner did not even get to know



of the rejection of his father's application for allotment; and that he is now entitled to allotment of alternate land.

However, upon being queried, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner does not have a copy of the application, purportedly dated 24.07.1985, that is stated to have been made by his father; nor does he have any other document to show that his father had ever applied for allotment of alternate land.

In the circumstances, counsel seeks time to file a copy of the application, or any other document evidencing that the petitioner's father had applied for allotment of alternate land.

Let the needful be done before the next date.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pathak, learned Standing Counsel is present on behalf of the respondent on advance copy.

No notice is being issued on the petition *as of now*.

Re-notify on 02nd December 2025 before the Roster Bench.

ANUP JAIRAM BHAMBHANI, J

OCTOBER 6, 2025

SS