



\$~16

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(OS) 704/2025**

ANJANA GULIANI & ORS.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Geeta Malhotra, Adv.
(appeared through VC)

versus

**LT COL (RETD.) NARENDER KUMAR MALHOTRA
& ANR.**

.....Defendant

Through: Mr. Ujjwal Jha, Adv. for D-1
(appeared through VC)
Ms. Rachna Aggarwal, Adv. for
D-2 (appeared through VC)

**CORAM:
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL) SH. SUMIT
DALAL**

%

**ORDER
14.05.2026**

**IA.No.24778/2025 (under Order XXXIX Rule 1 & 2
CPC)**

1. Reply on behalf of Defendant No. 1 is already on record.
2. Vide order dated 10.02.2026, learned counsel for Defendant No. 2 had already submitted that he is not filing any separate reply and the submissions made in the written statement of Defendant No. 2 may be treated as reply to the captioned IA.
3. Learned counsel for the plaintiff submits that she is not filing any rejoinder.
4. Accordingly, pleadings in the captioned IA stand



concluded.

CS(OS) 704/2025

5. As per order dated 10.02.2026, pleadings qua Defendant No. 1 already stand completed.
6. As per office note, replication has been filed on behalf of the plaintiff to the written statement of Defendant No. 2.
7. Accordingly, pleadings qua Defendant No. 2 also stand concluded.
8. The office note further reflects filing of documents on behalf of the plaintiff.
9. The said filing of documents at this stage is objected to by learned counsel for Defendant No. 1, who submits that documents could not have been filed at this stage along with replication without seeking leave of the Court in terms of the applicable Rules.
10. Learned counsel for the plaintiff seeks some time to examine the objections raised and accordingly prays for an adjournment for today.
11. Allowed.
12. List for clarification regarding the aforesaid aspect of subsequent filing of additional documents on behalf of the plaintiff on **08.07.2026**.

SUMIT DALAL
JOINT REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

MAY 14, 2026/nk