



\$~9

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CRL.A. 620/2011

PANKAJ KUMARAppellant
Through: Appellant-in-person.

versus

STATERespondent
Through: Mr. Aman Usman, APP for the
State with SI Dipika, P.S.
Adarsh Nagar.

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

% **19.09.2025**

1. This hearing has been conducted through hybrid mode.
2. As per report filed on behalf of the State, the surety of the Appellant has expired on 02nd November, 2019.
3. The Appellant has appeared in person and submitted that he is not financially capable of engaging a private Counsel and therefore requests that a legal aid counsel be provided to him.
4. Accordingly, Delhi High Court Legal Services Committee (**'DHCLSC'**) is to appoint a counsel to represent the Appellant in the present matter.
5. A copy of this order be forwarded forthwith to the **DHCLSC** for necessary compliance.
6. It is pointed out on behalf of the learned APP for the State that in this case, notice has to be issued to the Prosecutrix, as she is



required to be heard in view of the of the Judgment passed in ***Jagjeet Singh Vs. Ashish Mishra & Anr., (2022) 9 SCC 321.***

7. Let Court Notice be issued to the Prosecutrix and be served through the concerned SHO/IO.
8. The Prosecutrix may appear either through video conferencing or physically.
9. List on 14th November, 2025.

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

SEPTEMBER 19, 2025/sds/abk